On Characterization of *-Boundedness of Superposition Operators on the Sequence

Spaces $\ell_{p,\alpha}$

Fatmanur Erdoğan¹, Birsen Sağır² ¹Department of Mathematics, Ondokuz, Mayıs University, Samsun, Turkey ²Department of Mathematics, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Samsun, Turkey

Abstract: There have been many researching about of non-Newtonian calculus and superposition operators until present. The non-Newtonian superposition operator was introduced by Sağır and Erdoğan in [15]. In this study, *-locally boundedness and *-boundedness of the non-Newtonian superposition operator ${}_{N}P_{f}: \ell_{p,\alpha} \rightarrow \ell_{1,\beta}$ were characterized.

Keywords: *-boundedness, *-locally boundedness, non-Newtonian calculus, non-Newtonian superposition operators, non-Newtonian sequence spaces.

I. INTRODUCTION

Non-Newtonian calculus was firstly defined by Michael Grossman and Robert Katz between years 1967 and 1970. They published the book about fundamentals of non-Newtonian calculus which includes some special calculus such as geometric, harmonic, quadratic. At the recent times, Duyar and Erdogan [10] studied on non-Newtonian real number series and there are many works about non-Newtonian calculus as [8,12,13,16,18].

Many studies are done until today on superposition operator which is one of the non-linear operators. Dedagich and Zabreiko [2] worked on the superposition operators in the space ℓ_n . After, some properties of superposition operator, such as boundedness, continuity, were studied by Kolk and Raidjoe [5], Tainchai [3] and many others [4,7,9,11,14]. Non-Newtonian superposition operator was defined and characterized in some non-Newtonian sequence spaces by Sağır and Erdoğan [15]. Also Erdoğan and Sağır [17] worked on *-boundedness of some non-Newtonian superposition operators.

Our purpose in this paper is to extend some topological properties of superposition operators in classical calculus to *-calculus. So we obtained that the necessary and sufficient conditions for the *- boundedness and *-locally boundedness of the non-Newtonian superposition operator ${}_{N}P_{f}: \ell_{p,\alpha} \rightarrow \ell_{1,\beta}$ in here.

A generator is defined as one-to-one function with domain \Box and the range of generator is a subset of \Box . Let

take any α generator with range $A = \Box_{\alpha}$. Let define α -addition, α - subtraction, α -multiplication, α - division and α -order as follows respectively;

$$y + z = \alpha \left(\alpha^{-1}(y) + \alpha^{-1}(z) \right)$$

$$y - z = \alpha \left(\alpha^{-1}(y) - \alpha^{-1}(z) \right)$$

$$y \times z = \alpha \left(\alpha^{-1}(y) \times \alpha^{-1}(z) \right)$$

$$y / z = \alpha \left(\alpha^{-1}(y) / \alpha^{-1}(z) \right), z \neq 0, \alpha^{-1}(z) \neq 0$$

$$y < z (y \le z) \Leftrightarrow \alpha^{-1}(y) < \alpha^{-1}(z) \left(\alpha^{-1}(y) \le \alpha^{-1}(z) \right)$$

for $x, y \in \square_{\alpha}$ [1].

$$\left(\Box_{\alpha}, \dot{+}, \dot{\times}, \dot{\leq}\right)$$
 is totally ordered field [6].

Grossman and Katz described the *-calculus with the help of two arbitrary selected generators. In this study, we studied according to *-calculus. Let take any generators α and β and let * ("star") is shown the ordered pair of arithmetics (α -arithmetic, β -arithmetic). The following notations will be used.

	α -arithmetic	β -arithmetic
Realm	$A = \Box_{\alpha}$	$B = \Box_{\beta}$
Addition	÷	 +
Subtraction	<u> </u>	<u></u>
Multiplication	×	×
Division	<i>i</i>	7
Order	·<	:<

In the *-calculus, α -arithmetic is used on arguments and β -arithmetic is used on values.

The isomorphism from α -arithmetic to β -arithmetic is the unique function *l* (iota) that possesses the following three properties.

1. *l* is one-to-one.

- 2. l is on A and onto B.
- 3. For any numbers u and v in A,

It turns out that $\iota(x) = \beta(\alpha^{-1}(x))$ for every number x in A and that $\iota(\dot{n}) = \ddot{n}$ for every integer n [1].

The non-Newtonian sequence spaces S_{α} and $\ell_{p,\alpha}$ over the non-Newtonian real field \Box_{α} are defined as following:

$$\begin{split} S_{\alpha} &= \left\{ x = \left(x_{k} \right) \colon \forall k \in \Box \; ; \; x_{k} \in \Box_{\alpha} \right\} \\ \ell_{p,\alpha} &= \left\{ x = \left(x_{k} \right) \in S_{\alpha} \colon {}_{\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| x_{k} \right|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} \dot{<} \dot{+} \infty \right\} \quad (1 \le p < \infty). \end{split}$$

The sequence space $\ell_{p,\alpha}$ is non-Newtonian normed spaces with the non-Newtonian norm $\|.\|_{\ell_{p,\alpha}}$ which is

defined as $\|x\|_{\ell_{p,\alpha}} = \left(\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} \right)^{(l/p)_{\alpha}}$ [6].

Let S_{α} be space of non-Newtonian real number sequences, X_{α} be a sequence space on \Box_{α} and Y_{β} be a sequence space on \Box_{β} . A non-Newtonian superposition operator $_{N}P_{f}$ on X_{α} is a mapping from X_{α} into S_{α} defined by $_{N}P_{f}(x) = (f(k, x_{k}))_{k=1}^{\infty}$ where $f: \Box \times \Box_{\alpha} \rightarrow \Box_{\beta}$ satisfies condition (*NA*₁) as follows;

$$(NA_1)$$
 $f(k, 0) = 0$ for all $k \in \Box$

If $_{N}P_{f} \in Y_{\beta}$ for all $x = (x_{k}) \in X_{\alpha}$, we say that $_{N}P_{f}$ acts from X_{α} into Y_{β} and write $_{N}P_{f}: X_{\alpha} \to Y_{\beta}$ [15].

Also, we shall assume the following conditions:

 $(NA_2) f(k,.)$ is *-continuous for all $k \in \Box$.

 $(NA_2) f(k,.)$ is β -bounded on every α -bounded subset of \Box_{α} for all $k \in \Box$.

Sağır and Erdoğan [15] have characterized the non-Newtonian superposition operators ${}_N P_f$ on $\ell_{p,\alpha}$ as the following.

Theorem 1: Let $f: \square \times \square_{\alpha} \to \square_{\beta}$ satisfies the condition (NA_{2}) . Then ${}_{N}P_{f}: \ell_{p,\alpha} \to \ell_{1,\beta}$ if and only if there exist α -numbers $\mu, \eta \ge \dot{0}$ and a β sequence $(c_{k}) \in \ell_{1,\beta}$ such that

$$|f(k,t)|_{\beta} \stackrel{\sim}{\leq} c_k \stackrel{\sim}{+} \iota(\eta) \stackrel{\sim}{\times} |\iota(t)|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}}$$
 whenever $|t|_{\alpha} \stackrel{\sim}{\leq} \mu$

for all $k \in \square$.

Definition 1: Let (X_{α}, d_{α}) and (Y_{β}, d_{β}) be non-Newtonian sequence spaces. An operator $F: X_{\alpha} \to Y_{\beta}$ is *-bounded if F(A) is β -bounded for every α -bounded subset A of X_{α} .

Definition 2: Let (X_{α}, d_{α}) and (Y_{β}, d_{β}) be non-Newtonian sequence spaces. An operator $F: X_{\alpha} \to Y_{\beta}$ is *-locally bounded $x_0 \in X_{\alpha}$ if there exist α -number $\mu \ge \dot{0}$ and β -number $\eta \ge \ddot{0}$ such that $F(x) \in B_{d_{\beta}}[F(x_0), \eta]$ for $x \in B_{d_{\alpha}}[x_0, \mu]$. F is *locally bounded if it is *-locally bounded it is *-locally bounded for every $x \in X_{\alpha}$.

Theorem 2: Let (X_{α}, d_{α}) and (Y_{β}, d_{β}') be non-Newtonian metric sequence spaces. An operator $F: X_{\alpha} \to Y_{\beta}$ is *-locally bounded if F is *-bounded.

Theorem 3: If the function $f : \Box \times \Box_{\alpha} \to \Box_{\beta}$ is *-locally bounded, it is satisfies the condition (NA_2) .[17]

II. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 4: Let $f : \square \times \square_{\alpha} \to \square_{\beta}$. The non-Newtonian superposition operator ${}_{N}P_{f} : \ell_{p,\alpha} \to \ell_{1,\beta}$ is *-locally bounded if and only if f satisfies the condition (NA_{2}) .

Volume X, Issue X, October 2021 ISSN 2278-2540

Proof: Assume that ${}_{N}P_{f}: \ell_{p,\alpha} \to \ell_{1,\beta}$ is *-locally bounded. Let $k \in \Box$ and $a \in \Box_{\alpha}$. Let $y = (y_{n})$ be as follows

$$y_n = \begin{cases} a, & n = k \\ \dot{0}, & n \neq k \end{cases}$$

for all $k \in \Box$. Then $y \in \ell_{p,\alpha}$. Since ${}_{N}P_{f}$ is *-locally bounded, there exist $\mu, \eta \ge \dot{0}$ such that

$$\left\| {}_{N}P_{f}(x) \stackrel{.}{=}{}_{N}P_{f}(y) \right\|_{\ell_{1,\beta}} \stackrel{.}{\leq}{} \iota(\eta) \text{ when } \left\| x \stackrel{.}{=}{} y \right\|_{\ell_{p,\alpha}} \stackrel{.}{\leq}{} \mu.$$
(1)

Let $b \in \square_{\alpha}$ with $|b - a|_{\alpha} \leq \mu$. Let $x = (x_n)$ be as follows

$$x_n = \begin{cases} b, & n = k \\ \dot{0}, & n \neq k \end{cases}$$

Then $x \in \ell_{p,\alpha}$. Since

$$\|x \div y\|_{\ell_{p,\alpha}} = \left(\alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n \div y_n|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} \right)^{(1/p)_{\alpha}} = \left(|b \div a|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} \right)^{(1/p)_{\alpha}} = |b \div a|_{\alpha} \le \mu$$

it is obtained that $\|_{N} P_{f}(x) \stackrel{.}{=} {}_{N} P_{f}(y) \|_{\ell_{1,\beta}} \stackrel{.}{\leq} \iota(\eta)$ by (1). Then

$$\begin{split} \left| f(k,b) \stackrel{.}{\hookrightarrow} f(k,a) \right|_{\beta} &= {}_{\beta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| f(n,x_n) \stackrel{.}{\hookrightarrow} f(n,y_n) \right|_{\beta} \\ &= \left\| {}_{N} P_f(x) \stackrel{.}{\hookrightarrow} {}_{N} P_f(y) \right\|_{\ell_{1,\beta}} \\ &\stackrel{.}{\cong} \iota(\eta). \end{split}$$

Thus f(k,.) is *-locally bounded at $a \in \Box_{\alpha}$. Since $a \in \Box_{\alpha}$ is arbitrary, f(k,.) is *-locally bounded. Then, f(k,.) satisfies the condition (NA_2) by Theorem 3.

Conversely, assume that f(k,.) satisfies the condition $(NA_2^{'})$. Let $z = (z_k) \in \ell_{p,\alpha}$. Since f satisfies the condition $(NA_2^{'})$ and $_NP_f: \ell_{p,\alpha} \to \ell_{1,\beta}$, by Theorem 1, there exist $\mu, \eta \ge 0$ and $(c_k) \in \ell_{1,\beta}$ such that

$$|f(k,t)|_{\beta} \stackrel{\sim}{\leq} c_k \stackrel{\sim}{+} \iota(\eta) \stackrel{\sim}{\times} |\iota(t)|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}} \text{ when } |t|_{\alpha} \stackrel{\cdot}{\leq} \mu$$
(2)

for each $k \in \Box$. Let $\gamma = \frac{\mu}{2}\alpha$ and let $x \in \ell_{p,\alpha}$ with $\|x \div z\|_{\ell_{p,\alpha}} \leq \gamma$. Since $\|z\|_{\ell_{p,\alpha}} \leq \pm \infty$, there exists a positive integer r such that

$$\left\| z_{\lambda} \right\|_{\ell_{p,\alpha}} = \left(\alpha \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} |z_k|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} \right)^{(1/p)_{\alpha}} \leq \gamma$$
(3)

for $\lambda \in \{r, r+1, ...\}$. Since $\|x - z\|_{\ell_{p,\alpha}} \leq \gamma$, it is written that

$$\left(\sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \left|x_{k} \div z_{k}\right|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}}\right)^{(1/p)_{\alpha}} \stackrel{!}{\leq} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left|x_{k} \div z_{k}\right|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}}\right)^{(1/p)_{\alpha}} \stackrel{!}{\leq} \gamma.$$
(4)

From inequalities of (3), (4) and non-Newtonian Minkowski, we have that

$$\begin{split} \left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \left| x_k \right|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} \end{array} \right)^{(1/p)_{\alpha}} &= \left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \left| x_k \div z_k + z_k \right|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} \end{array} \right)^{(1/p)_{\alpha}} \\ & \doteq \left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \left| x_k \div z_k \right|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} \end{array} \right)^{(1/p)_{\alpha}} + \left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \left| z_k \right|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} \end{array} \right)^{(1/p)_{\alpha}} \\ & \doteq \gamma + \gamma \\ &= \mu. \end{split}$$

Then $|x_k|_{\alpha} \leq \mu$ for all $k \geq r$. From (2), we get $|f(k, x_k)|_{\beta} \leq c_k + \iota(\eta) ||_{\beta} ||_{\beta}$ for each $k \geq r$. Then

$$\begin{split} {}_{\beta}\sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \left| f(k,x_{k}) \right|_{\beta} & \stackrel{\simeq}{=} {}_{\beta}\sum_{k=r}^{\infty} c_{k} + \iota(\eta) \times_{\beta} \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \left| \iota(x_{k}) \right|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}} \\ & \stackrel{\simeq}{=} {}_{\beta}\sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \left| c_{k} \right|_{\beta} + \iota(\eta) \times_{\beta} \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \left| \iota(x_{k}) \right|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}} \\ & \stackrel{\simeq}{=} \left\| (c_{k}) \right\|_{\ell_{1,\beta}} + \iota(\eta) \times \iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}. \end{split}$$

Thus we get that

www.ijltemas.in

Volume X, Issue X, October 2021 ISSN 2278-2540

$${}_{\beta}\sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \left| f(k, x_k) \right|_{\beta} \stackrel{\sim}{\leq} \left\| (c_k) \right\|_{\ell_{1,\beta}} \stackrel{\sim}{+} \iota(\eta) \stackrel{\sim}{\times} \iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}.$$
(5)

Let $m_k = \beta \sup_{|t \doteq z_k|_{\alpha} \leq \gamma} |f(k,t)|_{\beta}$ for each $k \in \square$. Since f satisfies the condition (NA_2) , it seen that $m_k \stackrel{\sim}{\leftarrow} \stackrel{+\infty}{\to}$ for all $k \in \square$. Since $||x \doteq z||_{\ell_{p,\alpha}} \leq \gamma$, it is written that $|x_k \doteq z_k|_{\alpha} \leq \gamma$ for all $k \in \square$. This implies that

$$\left|f(k, x_k)\right|_{\beta} \stackrel{\sim}{=} m_k$$

for all $k \in \Box$. From (5) and (6), we find that

$$\begin{split} \left\| {}_{N}P_{f}(x) \right\|_{\ell_{1,\beta}} &= {}_{\beta}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| f(k,x_{k}) \right|_{\beta} \\ &= {}_{\beta}\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} \left| f(k,x_{k}) \right|_{\beta} + {}_{\beta}\sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \left| f(k,x_{k}) \right|_{\beta} \\ &\stackrel{\simeq}{=} {}_{\beta}\sum_{k=1}^{r-1} m_{k} + \left\| (c_{k}) \right\|_{\ell_{1,\beta}} + \iota(\eta) \times \iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\|_{N} P_{f}(x) \stackrel{\sim}{=} {}_{N} P_{f}(z) \|_{\ell_{1,\beta}} \stackrel{\simeq}{\leq} \|_{N} P_{f}(x) \|_{\ell_{1,\beta}} \stackrel{\simeq}{+} \|_{N} P_{f}(z) \|_{\ell_{1,\beta}}$$
$$\stackrel{\simeq}{\leq} {}_{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} m_{k} \stackrel{\simeq}{+} \|(c_{k})\|_{\ell_{1,\beta}} \stackrel{\simeq}{+} t(\eta) \stackrel{\simeq}{\times} t(\mu)^{p_{\beta}} \stackrel{\simeq}{+} \|_{N} P_{f}(z) \|_{\ell_{1,\beta}} .$$

Then we get that $\left\| {}_{N}P_{f}(x) \stackrel{\sim}{=} {}_{N}P_{f}(z) \right\|_{\ell_{1,\beta}} \stackrel{\simeq}{=} \varphi$ whenever $\varphi = {}_{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{r-1} m_{k} \stackrel{\sim}{+} \left\| (c_{k}) \right\|_{\ell_{1,\beta}} \stackrel{\sim}{+} \iota(\eta) \stackrel{\times}{\times} \iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}} \stackrel{\sim}{+} \left\| {}_{N}P_{f}(z) \right\|_{\ell_{1,\beta}}.$ So, ${}_{N}P_{f} : \ell_{p,\alpha} \rightarrow \ell_{1,\beta}$ is *-locally bounded at $z = (z_{k})$.

Corollary 1: Let $f: \square \times \square_{\alpha} \to \square_{\beta}$ satisfy the condition (NA_2) . If ${}_NP_f: \ell_{p,\alpha} \to \ell_{1,\beta}$, then ${}_NP_f$ is *-locally bounded.

Corollary 2: Let $f: \Box \times \Box_{\alpha} \to \Box_{\beta}$. If ${}_{N}P_{f}: \ell_{p,\alpha} \to \ell_{1,\beta}$ is *-bounded, then f satisfies the condition (NA_{2}) .

Proposition 1: Let $f: \Box \times \Box_{\alpha} \to \Box_{\beta}$ satisfy the condition (NA_2) . If for each α -number $\mu \ge \dot{0}$ there exists a β -number $\eta(\mu) \ge \ddot{0}$ such that

$${}_{\beta}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| f(k, x_k) \right|_{\beta} \stackrel{\sim}{\leq} \eta(\mu) \quad \text{when } \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \right|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} \right)^{(1/p)_{\alpha}} \stackrel{\sim}{\leq} \mu$$

for any finite α -sequence $x = (x_k)$, then there exists a β -sequence $c(\mu) = (c_k(\mu)) \in \ell_{1,\beta}$ with $c_k(\mu) \stackrel{>}{=} \stackrel{_{\sim}}{0}$

for all $k \in \square$ and $\left\| c\left(\mu\right) \right\|_{\ell_{1,\beta}} \stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{=} \eta\left(\mu\right)$ such that

$$\left|f(k,t)\right|_{\beta} \stackrel{\simeq}{=} c_{k}(\mu) \stackrel{\sim}{+} \frac{\stackrel{\sim}{2} \stackrel{\sim}{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \stackrel{\sim}{\times} \left|\iota(t)\right|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}}$$

when $\left|t\right|_{\alpha} \leq \mu$ for each $k \in \Box$.

Proof: Take α -number $\mu \ge 0$. By the hypothesis, there exists a β -number $\eta(\mu) \ge 0$ such that

$${}_{\beta}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| f(k, x_k) \right|_{\beta} \stackrel{\sim}{=} \eta(\mu) \text{ where } \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| x_k \right|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} \right)^{(1/p)_{\alpha}} \stackrel{\sim}{=} \mu \quad (7)$$

for any finite α -sequence $x = (x_k)$. Let

$$g_{\mu}(k,t) = {}^{\beta} \max\left\{ \ddot{0}, \left| f(k,t) \right|_{\beta} \stackrel{\sim}{=} \frac{\ddot{2} \stackrel{\times}{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \stackrel{\times}{\times} \left| \iota(t) \right|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}} \right\}$$

and

$$c_k(\mu) = {}^{\beta} \sup \left\{ g_{\mu}(k,t) : \left| t \right|_{\alpha} \leq \mu \right\}$$

for each $k \in \Box$. Let $k \in \Box$ and $t \in \Box_{\alpha}$ provided $|t|_{\alpha} \leq \mu$. By the definition of $g_{\mu}(k,t)$, since $|f(k,t)|_{\beta} \leq \frac{\ddot{2} \approx \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \approx |\iota(t)|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}}$ when $g_{\mu}(k,t) = \ddot{0}$, it is written that $|f(k,t)|_{\alpha} \leq c_{\mu}(\mu) + \frac{\ddot{2} \approx \eta(\mu)}{\mu} \beta \approx |\iota(t)|_{\alpha}^{p_{\beta}}$.

is written that $|f(k,t)|_{\beta} \stackrel{\scriptstyle{\sim}}{=} c_k(\mu) \stackrel{\scriptstyle{\leftarrow}}{+} \frac{2 \stackrel{\scriptstyle{\leftarrow}}{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \stackrel{\scriptstyle{\leftarrow}}{\times} |\iota(t)|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}}$. Assume that $g_{\mu}(k,t) \neq \ddot{0}$. Then Volume X, Issue X, October 2021 | ISSN 2278-2540

that

$$\left|f(k,t)\right|_{\beta} = g_{\mu}(k,t) + \frac{\ddot{2} \times \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \times |\iota(t)|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}}$$
$$\stackrel{\simeq}{\leq} c_{k}(\mu) + \frac{\ddot{2} \times \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \times |\iota(t)|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}}.$$

Thus

$$|f(k,t)|_{\beta} \stackrel{\sim}{\leq} c_k(\mu) \stackrel{\sim}{+} \frac{\ddot{2} \stackrel{\sim}{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \stackrel{\sim}{\times} |\iota(t)|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}}$$
 whenever

get

we

 $|t|_{\alpha} \leq \mu$ for each $k \in \square$. Since f satisfies the condition (NA_2) , $g_{\mu}(k,t)$ is *-bounded on every α -bounded subset of α -real numbers for all $k \in \square$. Then $\ddot{0} \leq c_k(\mu) \leq \infty$ for all $k \in \square$. We have that for each $\varepsilon \geq \ddot{0}$, there exists an α -sequence $a = (a_k)$ with $|a_k|_{\alpha} \leq \mu$ such that

(8)
$$c_{k}(\mu) \ddot{<} g_{\mu}(k, a_{k}) \ddot{+} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k_{\beta}}} \beta$$

for all $k \in \square$. Let define $a' = (a_k)$ as follows

$$(a_k) = \begin{cases} a_k, g_\mu(k, a_k) \neq \ddot{0} \\ \dot{0}, g_\mu(k, a_k) = \ddot{0} \end{cases}$$

There exists a finite sequence (n_i) with $n_1 = 1 < n_2 < ... < n_m = n$ such that

$$\left(\left. \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| a_{k}^{\dagger} \right|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} \right)^{(1/p)_{\alpha}} = \left(\left. \sum_{k=n_{1}}^{n_{2}-1} \left| a_{k}^{\dagger} \right|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} + \left. \sum_{k=n_{2}}^{n_{3}-1} \left| a_{k}^{\dagger} \right|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} + \ldots + \left. \sum_{k=n_{m-1}}^{n} \left| a_{k}^{\dagger} \right|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} \right)^{(1/p)_{\alpha}} \right)^{(1/p)_{\alpha}}$$

with

$$\frac{\mu}{2}\alpha \leq \left(\sum_{\alpha}\sum_{k=n_{i}}^{n_{i+1}-1} \left|a_{k}\right|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}}\right)^{(1/p)_{\alpha}} \leq \mu$$

$$i = 1, 2, ..., m - 2$$
 and $\dot{0} \leq \left(\sum_{k=n_{m-1}}^{n} \left| a_{k}^{*} \right|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} \right)^{(1-1)_{\alpha}} \leq \mu$ for
any $n \in \square$. Let $b^{(i)} = a_{\lambda_{\{n_{i}, n_{i}+1, n_{i}+2, ..., n_{i+1}-1\}}}$ and

$$b^{(m-1)} = a_{\lambda_{\{n_{m-1}, n_{m-1}+1, n_{m-1}+2, ..., n_{m-1+1}=n\}}}^{(m-1)} \text{ for each } i = 1, 2, ..., m-2 \text{ . then } b^{(i)} \text{ is a finite } \alpha \text{ -sequence for } i = 1, 2, ..., m-2 \text{ and } \left(\sum_{\alpha = 1}^{\infty} \left| b_k^{(i)} \right|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} \right)^{(1/p)_{\alpha}} \leq \mu \text{ . From }$$
(7), we get that $\beta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| f\left(k, b_k^{(i)}\right) \right|_{\beta} \leq \eta(\mu) \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, ..., m-2$. So, for each $i = 1, 2, ..., m-2$

$${}_{\beta}\sum_{k=n_{i}}^{n_{i+1}-1} \left| f\left(k,b_{k}^{(i)}\right) \right|_{\beta} \stackrel{\sim}{\leq} \eta\left(\mu\right) \tag{9}$$

and

$${}_{\beta}\sum_{k=n_{m-1}}^{n} \left| f\left(k, b_{k}^{(m-1)}\right) \right|_{\beta} \stackrel{\sim}{=} \eta\left(\mu\right). \tag{10}$$

We define that $h(k,t) = \begin{cases} f(k,t), g_{\mu}(k,t) \stackrel{>}{\Rightarrow} \stackrel{^{\circ}}{0} \\ \stackrel{^{\circ}}{0}, g_{\mu}(k,t) \stackrel{=}{0} \end{cases}$.

From (9) and (10),

$${}_{\beta}\sum_{k=n_{i}}^{n_{i+1}-1} \left| h\left(k,b_{k}^{(i)}\right) \right|_{\beta} \stackrel{\simeq}{\leq} \eta\left(\mu\right) \tag{11}$$

and

for

$${}_{\beta}\sum_{k=n_{m-1}}^{n} \left| h\left(k, b_{k}^{(m-1)}\right) \right|_{\beta} \stackrel{\simeq}{=} \eta\left(\mu\right)$$

$$\tag{12}$$

for i = 1, 2, ..., m - 2. Then we get that

$$g_{\mu}(k,a_{k}) = \left|h(k,a_{k})\right|_{\beta} = \frac{\ddot{2} \ddot{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \ddot{\times} \left|\iota(a_{k})\right|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}}.$$
 (13)

By (8), (11), (12) and (13), we find

www.ijltemas.in

$${}_{\beta}\sum_{k=1}^{n}c_{k}(\mu) \stackrel{\approx}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{n}g_{\mu}(k,a_{k}) \stackrel{\approx}{+} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\mathcal{E}}{2^{k_{\beta}}}\beta$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \int_{\beta}\sum_{k=n_{1}}^{n_{2}-1}g_{\mu}(k,a_{k}) \stackrel{\approx}{+} \int_{\beta}\sum_{k=n_{2}}^{n_{3}-1}g_{\mu}(k,a_{k}) \\ \stackrel{\approx}{+} \dots \stackrel{\approx}{+} \int_{\beta}\sum_{k=n_{m-1}}^{n}g_{\mu}(k,a_{k}) \stackrel{\approx}{+} \int_{\beta}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\mathcal{E}}{2^{k_{\beta}}}\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \int_{\beta}\sum_{k=n_{1}}^{n_{2}-1}\left(\left|h(k,a_{k}^{'})\right|_{\beta} \stackrel{\approx}{=} \frac{2 \stackrel{\approx}{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}}\beta \stackrel{\approx}{\times} \left|\iota(a_{k}^{'})\right|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}}\right) \\ \stackrel{\approx}{+} \int_{\beta}\sum_{k=n_{2}}^{n_{1}-1}\left(\left|h(k,a_{k}^{'})\right|_{\beta} \stackrel{\approx}{=} \frac{2 \stackrel{\approx}{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}}\beta \stackrel{\approx}{\times} \left|\iota(a_{k}^{'})\right|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}}\right) \\ \stackrel{\approx}{+} \int_{\beta}\sum_{k=n_{m-1}}^{n}\left(\left|h(k,a_{k}^{'})\right|_{\beta} \stackrel{\approx}{=} \frac{2 \stackrel{\approx}{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}}\beta \stackrel{\approx}{\times} \left|\iota(a_{k}^{'})\right|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}}\right) \\ \stackrel{\approx}{+} \int_{\beta}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\mathcal{E}}{2^{k_{\beta}}}\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \prod_{\beta k=n_{1}}^{n_{2}-1} \left(\left| h(k,b_{k}^{(1)}) \right|_{\beta} = \frac{2 \ddot{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \ddot{\times} \left| \iota(b_{k}^{(1)}) \right|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}} \right) \ddot{+} \\ = \int_{\beta k=n_{2}}^{n_{2}-1} \left(\left| h(k,b_{k}^{(2)}) \right|_{\beta} = \frac{2 \ddot{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \ddot{\times} \left| \iota(b_{k}^{(2)}) \right|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}} \right) \ddot{+} \dots \ddot{+} \\ = \int_{\beta k=n_{m-1}}^{n} \left(\left| h(k,b_{k}^{(m-1)}) \right|_{\beta} = \frac{2 \ddot{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \ddot{\times} \left| \iota(b_{k}^{(m-1)}) \right|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}} \right) \ddot{+} \dots \ddot{+} \\ = \int_{\beta k=n_{m-1}}^{n} \left(\left| h(k,b_{k}^{(m-1)}) \right|_{\beta} = \frac{2 \ddot{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \ddot{\times} \left| \iota(b_{k}^{(2)}) \right|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}} \\ \vdots = \frac{2 \ddot{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \ddot{\times} \left(\int_{\beta k=n_{1}}^{n_{2}-1} \left| \iota(b_{k}^{(1)}) \right|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}} \\ \vdots = \int_{k=n_{2}}^{n_{2}-1} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k_{\beta}}} \beta \\ = \left(\left(\ddot{m} = \ddot{1} \right) \ddot{\times} \eta(\mu) = \frac{2 \ddot{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \ddot{\times} \left| \iota(a_{k}) \right|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}} \\ \vdots = \int_{k=n_{2}}^{n_{2}-1} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k_{\beta}}} \beta \\ \vdots = \left(\left(\ddot{m} = \ddot{1} \right) \ddot{\times} \eta(\mu) = \frac{2 \ddot{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \ddot{\times} \left(\left(\ddot{m} = \ddot{2} \right) \ddot{\times} \frac{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}}{2} \beta \\ \vdots = \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k_{\beta}}} \beta \\ \vdots = \left((\ddot{m} = \ddot{1}) \ddot{\times} \eta(\mu) = \frac{2 \ddot{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \ddot{\times} \left(\left(\ddot{m} = \ddot{2} \right) \ddot{\times} \frac{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}}{2} \beta \\ \vdots = \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k_{\beta}}} \beta \\ \vdots = \left((m = \ddot{1}) \ddot{\times} \eta(\mu) = \frac{2 \ddot{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \ddot{\times} \left(\left(\ddot{m} = \ddot{2} \right) \ddot{\times} \frac{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}}{2} \beta \\ \vdots = \eta(\mu) \ddot{+} \beta \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k_{\beta}}} \beta. \end{cases}$$

Then we have that

$${}_{\beta}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}c_{k}(\mu) = {}^{\beta}\lim_{n\to\infty}\left({}_{\beta}\sum_{k=1}^{n}c_{k}(\mu)\right) \stackrel{\sim}{=} \eta(\mu) \stackrel{\sim}{+} \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon \stackrel{>}{>} \stackrel{=}{0}$ is arbitrary, it is written that ${}_{\beta}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k(\mu) \stackrel{\simeq}{=} \eta(\mu)$. Thus we get that $\left\| \left(c_k(\mu) \right) \right\|_{\ell_{1,\beta}} \stackrel{\simeq}{=} \eta(\mu)$.

Theorem 5: Let $f : \square \times \square_{\alpha} \to \square_{\beta}$. The non-Newtonian superposition operator ${}_{N}P_{f} : \ell_{p,\alpha} \to \ell_{1,\beta}$ is *-bounded if and only if for every α -number $\mu \ge \dot{0}$, there exist a β -number $\eta(\mu) \ge \ddot{0}$ and a β -sequence $c(\mu) = (c_k(\mu)) \in \ell_{1,\beta}$ such that

$$\left| f(k,t) \right|_{\beta} \stackrel{\sim}{=} c_{k}(\mu) \stackrel{\sim}{+} \frac{\ddot{2} \stackrel{\sim}{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \stackrel{\sim}{\times} \left| \iota(t) \right|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}} \qquad \text{when} \\ \left| t \right|_{\alpha} \stackrel{\sim}{=} \mu$$

for each $k \in \Box$.

Proof: Assume that ${}_{N}P_{f}: \ell_{p,\alpha} \to \ell_{1,\beta}$ is *-bounded. Let $x = (x_{k})$ a finite α -sequence with $||x||_{\ell_{p,\alpha}} \leq \mu$. Then $\left({}_{\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_{k}|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} \right)^{(1/p)_{\alpha}} \leq \mu$. Since ${}_{N}P_{f}$ is *-bounded, there exists a β -number $\eta(\mu) \geq \ddot{0}$ such that $||_{N}P_{f}(x)||_{\ell_{1,\beta}} \leq \eta(\mu) \leq \div\infty$. The function f satisfies the condition (NA_{2}) by Corollary 2. Then there exists a β - sequence $c(\mu) = (c_{k}(\mu)) \in \ell_{1,\beta}$ with $||c(\mu)||_{\ell_{1,\beta}} \leq \eta(\mu) \approx \frac{\ddot{2} \approx \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \approx |\iota(t)|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}}$ when

 $|t|_{\alpha} \leq \mu$ for each $k \in \Box$ by Proposition 1.

Conversely, let $\mu \ge \dot{0}$ and $x \in \ell_{p,\alpha}$ with $\|x\|_{\ell_{p,\alpha}} \le \mu$

. Then
$$\left(\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|_{\alpha}^{p_{\alpha}} \right)^{(1/p)_{\alpha}} \leq \mu$$
 and $|x_k|_{\alpha} \leq \mu$ for all

 $k \in \Box$. By hypothesis, there exist a β -number $\eta(\mu) \stackrel{:}{\stackrel{\:}{\:}} 0$ and a β -sequence $c(\mu) = (c_k(\mu)) \in \ell_{1,\beta}$ such that

$$\left|f(k,x_{k})\right|_{\beta} \stackrel{\simeq}{\leq} c_{k}(\mu) \stackrel{\simeq}{+} \frac{\ddot{2} \stackrel{\sim}{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \stackrel{\sim}{\times} \left|\iota(x_{k})\right|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}}$$

for each $k \in \square$. Since $_{N}P_{f}(x) = (f(k, x_{k}))_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \ell_{1,\beta}$, we get that

$$\begin{split} \left\| {}_{N}P_{f}(x) \right\|_{\ell_{1,\beta}} &= {}_{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| f(k, x_{k}) \right|_{\beta} \\ & \stackrel{\simeq}{=} {}_{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(c_{k}(\mu) \right) \ddot{+} \frac{\ddot{2} \ddot{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \ddot{\times} \iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}} \\ & \stackrel{\simeq}{=} {}_{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| c_{k}(\mu) \right|_{\beta} \ddot{+} \ddot{2} \ddot{\times} \eta(\mu) \\ &= \left\| \left(c(\mu) \right) \right\|_{\ell_{1,\beta}} \ddot{+} \ddot{2} \ddot{\times} \eta(\mu). \end{split}$$

Thus $_{N}P_{f}$ is*-bounded.

Example: Let $f : \Box \times \Box_{\alpha} \to \Box_{\beta}$ be as follows

$$f(k,t) = \left(\frac{\ddot{1}}{\ddot{7}^{k_{\beta}}}\beta + |\iota(t)|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}}\right) \times |\iota(t)|_{\beta}$$

where $\alpha = I$, $\beta = \exp$ for all $k \in \square$ and $t \in \square_{\alpha}$. Then the function $f : \square \times \square \to \square^+$ be as $f(k,t) = e^{\left(\frac{1}{7^k} + |t|^{\rho}\right)|t|}$ for $k \in \square$ and $t \in \square$. Since f(k,.) satisfies the condition

 (NA_{2}) , it is seen that ${}_{N}P_{f}: \ell_{p,\alpha} \to \ell_{1,\beta}$ easily. Let $\mu > 0$ and $t \in \Box$ with $|t| \le \mu$. We get

$$\begin{split} \left| f(k,t) \right|_{\beta} &= e^{\left| Inf(k,t) \right|} \\ &= e^{\left| In \left(e^{\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{k}} + \left| t \right|^{p} \right) \left| t \right|} \right) \right|} \\ &= e^{\left| \left(\frac{1}{\gamma^{k}} + \left| t \right|^{p} \right) \left| t \right|} \\ &= e^{\left(\frac{\left| t \right|}{\gamma^{k}} + \left| t \right|^{p} \cdot \left| t \right| \right)} \\ &\leq e^{\left(\frac{\mu}{\gamma^{k}} + \left| t \right|^{p} \cdot \mu \right)} \\ &= e^{\frac{\mu}{\gamma^{k}}} e^{\left| t \right|^{p} \cdot \mu} \end{split}$$

for all $k \in \square$. Let take $c_k(\mu) = e^{\frac{\mu}{7^k}}$ for all $k \in \square$. Since

$$\left\| \left(c_k(\mu) \right) \right\|_{\ell_{1,\beta}} = {}_{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{\frac{\mu}{7^k}} = e^{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu}{7^k}} = e^{\frac{\mu}{7} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{7}}} = e^{\frac{\mu}{6}} < \infty$$

it is obtained that $(c_k(\mu)) \in \ell_{1,\beta}$. If we choose $\eta(\mu) = e^{\frac{\mu^{p+1}}{2}}$, we get

$$\left|f(k,t)\right|_{\beta} \stackrel{\sim}{=} c_{k}(\mu) \stackrel{\sim}{+} \frac{\ddot{2} \stackrel{\sim}{\times} \eta(\mu)}{\iota(\mu)^{p_{\beta}}} \beta \stackrel{\sim}{\times} \left|\iota(t)\right|_{\beta}^{p_{\beta}}$$

Therefore, ${}_{N}P_{f}: \ell_{p,\alpha} \to \ell_{1,\beta}$ is *-bounded by Theorem 5.

III. CONCLUSIONS

In this study we proved that ${}_{N}P_{f}: \ell_{p,\alpha} \to \ell_{1,\beta}$ is *-locally bounded if and only if f satisfies the condition (NA_{2}') . Also we obtained that the necessary and sufficient conditions for *-boundedness of ${}_{N}P_{f}: \ell_{p,\alpha} \to \ell_{1,\beta}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grossman, M. and Katz, R. (1972). *Non-Newtonian Calculus*, 1st ed., Lee Press, Pigeon Cove Massachussets.
- Dedagich F. and Zabreiko P.P., (1987). Operator Superpositions in The Spaces l_p, Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal, 28, 86-98,
- [3] Tainchai, P. (1996). Boundedness of Superposition Operators on Some Sequence Spaces, Master of Sciences Dissertation, Graduate School of Chiang Mai University, 40, Thailand.
- [4] Sama-ae, A. (1997). Boundedness of Superposition Operators on The Sequence Spaces of Maddox, Master of Sciences Dissertation, Graduate School of Chiang Mai University, 55, Thailand.
- [5] Kolk, E. and Raidjoe, A. (2007). The Continuity of Superposition Operators on Some Sequence Spaces Defined by

Volume X, Issue X, October 2021 | ISSN 2278-2540

Moduli, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 57, 777-792.

- [6] Çakmak, A. F. and Başar F. (2012). Some New Results on Sequence Spaces with Respect to Non-Newtonian Calculus, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 228,1-12.
- [7] Sağır, B. and Güngör, N. (2015). Continuity of Superposition Operators on the Double Sequence Spaces L_p , Filomat, 9, 2017-2118.
- [8] Duyar, C., Sağır, B. and Oğur, O. (2015). Some Basic Topological Properties on Non- Newtonian Real Line, British Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, 9:4, 300-307.
- [9] Sağır, B. and Güngör, N. (2015). Locally Boundedness and Continuity of Superposition Operators on the Double Sequence Spaces C_{r0}, Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, 19(2), 365-377.
- [10] Duyar, C. and Erdoğan, M. (2016). On Non-Newtonian Real Number Series, IOSR Journal of Mathematics, 12:6, IV, 34-48, doi: 0.9790/5728-1206043448.
- [11] Güngör, N. and Sağır, B. (2017), Continuity of Superposition Operators on Double Sequence Spaces of Maddox L_p, Iranian Journal of Science and Technology Transactions A: Science,doi:10.1007/s40995-017-0266-1.
- [12] Erdoğan, M. and Duyar, C. (2018). Non-Newtonian Improper

Integrals, Journal of Science and Arts, 1(42), 49-74.

- [13] Sağır, B. and Erdoğan, F. (2019). On The Function Sequences and Series in the Non-Newtonian Calculus, Journal of Science and Arts, 4(49), 915-936.
- [14] Oğur, O. (2019). Superposition operators on sequence spaces $\ell_p(F)$ derived by using matrix of Fibonacci numbers, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 68(2):1-12.
- [15] Sağır, B. and Erdoğan, F. (2019). On Characterization of Non-Newtonian Superposition Operators in Some Sequence Spaces, Filomat, 33(9), 2601-2612.
- [16] Güngör N. (2020), Some Geometric Properties of The Non-Newtonian Sequence Spaces $\ell_p(N)$, Mathematica Slovaca, vol. 70:3, 689-696.
- [17] Erdoğan F. and Sağır, B. (2021). On *- Boundedness and *-Local Boundedness of Non-Newtonian Superposition Operators $in_{C_{0,\alpha}}$ and c_{α} to $\ell_{1,\beta}$, Journal of Universal Mathematics, 4(2), 241-251.
- [18] N.Sager and B. Sağır, (2021), Some Inequalities in Quasi-Banach Algebra of Non-Newtonian Bicomplex Numbers, Filomat, 35(7).