INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LATEST TECHNOLOGY IN ENGINEERING, MANAGEMENT & APPLIED SCIENCE (IJLTEMAS)

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XII, Issue X, October 2023

Breaking Barriers: Understanding and Overcoming Societal, Institutional, and Cultural Health Challenges for Women in STEM Fields

Joseph Owuondo

Doctor of Education Candidate, National University, San Diego, California

DOI: https://doi.org/10.51583/IJLTEMAS.2023.121004

Received: 27 September 2023; Revised: 17 October 2023; Accepted: 20 October 2023; Published: 04 November 2023

Abstract: This interdisciplinary investigation delves into the multifaceted analysis of barriers within the realms of socioeconomic, cultural, institutional, and mental health aspects to attain a deeper comprehension of the persisting underrepresentation of women within STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) professions. Despite regulatory efforts aimed at fostering gender equality, women remain a minority in STEM domains, constituting a mere 34% of the workforce. This disparity is glaring, with women comprising only 21% of engineering majors and 19% of computer science majors, thereby illustrating the pervasive underrepresentation across the academic spectrum. Additionally, gender pay disparities persist,accentuated by biased recruitment and advancement practices that culminate in considerably lower earnings for women in comparison to their male counterparts.

Young girls and women face discouragement in pursuing STEM vocations owing to entrenched stereotypes, a dearth of female role models, and an inhospitable institutional milieu.Prevailing societal biases continue to perpetuate the notion that STEM is predominantly male-dominated, portraying scientists and STEM professionals primarily as men. The absence of female role models, particularly for Black women, compounds this challenge. Furthermore, institutional impediments within academic and research settings perpetuate gender insensitivity, mandating conformity to traditional gender norms and impeding the progression of women in their professional trajectories. Additionally, the rigorous demands of STEM education exacerbate mental health issues, notably amongst graduate women hailing from various socioeconomic strata. These issues manifest as heightened stress levels, anxiety, depressive disorders, and even suicidal ideation, dissuading young girls from embarking on STEM careers.

To redress these obstacles and propel gender equality within STEM, recommendationsencompass the implementation of affirmative action and equal pay policies, robust advocacy for inclusivity and diversity in STEM education and workplaces, establishment of mentorshipinitiatives, and proactive efforts to debunk prevalent stereotypes. Essential too is the destigmatization and establishment of comprehensive mental health support initiatives tailored to the unique needs of underrepresented groups within STEM.

This study underscores the urgent call for collaborative action by governments, institutions, and stakeholders to cultivate a more hospitable and equitable STEM environmentfor women. It accentuates the paramount importance of comprehending and addressing the intricate interplay of factors inhibiting gender parity within STEM professions. To conduct a meticulous exploration of the intricate nexus between societal, cultural, institutional, and mental health elements contributing to gender disparities in STEM, the research methodologyinvolved a triangulation of data from scholarly journals, surveys, and academic papers within the STEM domain.

I. Introduction

The enactment of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 marked a pivotal moment in history, rendering discriminatory practices against women in hiring, firing, salary determination, promotions, and job assignments illegal (Bailey et al., 2023). Despite these significant legal strides towards mitigating gender inequality, the persisting issue is evident, particularly within the domain of STEM (Science et al.). Comprehensive comprehension of this reality necessitates the acquisition of informative data. A mere 34% of STEM job positions are occupied by women, and the gender disparity is further pronounced in most STEM undergraduate majors. Specifically, within engineering majors, women constitute a mere 21%,while computer science majors encompass a mere 19% female representation (AAUW, 2023;Kong et al., 2020). This gender disparity extends into the job market, where men in STEM fields earn an average yearly income of $85,000, significantly higher than the $60,828 earned by women, a disparity further compounded for women of color, enduring an additional reduction of $33,000 (AAUW, 2023). Regrettably, the industry also exhibits a concerning bias,with women being 30% less likely than their similarly qualified male counterparts to be invitedfor job interviews. Conversely, men are 30% more likely to secure promotions once employed(Kong et al., 2020).

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XII, Issue X, October 2023

Extensive research has attributed this persistent underrepresentation of women in STEM to a complex interplay of societal, cultural, institutional, and health barriers. For instance, a research study conducted in West Africa highlighted the gender insensitivity entrenched within the institutional rules and organizational culture of academic and research institutions, making it arduous for women to break free from this oppressive environment. Consequently, many women succumb to the pressure of conforming to gender expectations, compromising their advancement in their careers (Sougou et al., 2022). Another study, focused on Women's Perceived Barriers to Pursuing STEM Careers by Swafford & Anderson (2020), exposed the perception of glass ceilings for women in STEM careers, impeding their progress and reflecting broader societal and cultural determinants. Furthermore, research has illuminated the heightened levels of stress, depression, anxiety, and suicidal thoughts experienced by graduate women in STEM from diverse racial and ethnic backgrounds due to the challenging encounters within the higher education STEM environment (Wilkins-Yel et al., 2022; Oliveira-Silva et al., 2022). In light of this understanding and the prevailing status quo, aspiring female students shy away from pursuing STEM fields due to the fear of compromisingtheir mental well-being, posing a concerning threat to a segment of society's social and healthdimensions. This study delves into the societal, cultural, health, and institutional barriers contributing to gender inequality within STEM fields, underscoring the urgent necessity for a thorough comprehension of these issues and the timely implementation of solutions.

II. Research Question and Significance

The central inquiry driving this study is: How do an interplay of societal, institutional,and cultural variables intricately contribute to the gender disparities observed within STEM fields, and what ramifications does this hold for women engaged in these domains? By scrutinizing this critical matter, the study aspires to shed light on the multifaceted dynamics underlying these disparities and underscore the pivotal significance of proactively addressing them as a fundamental stride towards bridging the gender divide within the realm of STEM.

III. Structure of the Paper

The structure of this essay unfolds as follows: In the literature review, a meticulous analysis of existing research on gender disparities in STEM fields is conducted, elucidating the underlying causes of these disparities and emphasizing the associated challenges. Subsequently, the research methodology section elucidates the methodology, encompassing theliterature review and a critical analysis of the chosen interdisciplinary strategy and techniques.Moving forward, the study's findings are presented in the findings section, organized in alignment with the identified contributing factors. Following this, the study proposes strategies to mitigate these disparities, which are expounded upon. Finally, a concluding section encapsulates the significance of the interdisciplinary approach and provides a succinct summary of the key findings.

IV. Literature Review

In the past 50 years, there have been significant advancements in the representation andeducational gender equality for women, but acknowledging that much remains to be accomplished, scientists emphasize that legislative measures alone are insufficient (McDermott-Murphy, 2022). Notable legislation, such as The Civil Rights Act of 1964, explicitly demands gender equality in education and employment (Bailey, 2023). Despite overall progress and favorable legal frameworks, gender imbalances persist in specific disciplines, notably those dominated by males, including computer science, engineering, economics, mathematics, and physics (collectively referred to as STEM). Women occupy only34% of STEM professions, and men outnumber women in most STEM undergraduate majors. Engineering and computer science have particularly low representation, with women constituting only 21% and 19%, respectively (AAUW, 2023; Kong et al., 2020). The disparitiesextend to the job market, with women being 30% less likely to receive job interview invitations and 30% less likely to receive promotions compared to equally qualified male counterparts (Kong et al., 2020).

Statistical data by the US Census Bureau further illuminates the career disparities, particularly highlighting the underrepresentation of women in the physical sciences, computer sciences, and engineering fields (Bureau, 2021). These inequalities also manifest in the remuneration domain, where men in STEM areas earn an average of $85,000 annually, while women earn an average of $60,828, with women of color facing an additional pay gap of $33,000 (AAUW, 2023). The pervasive gender disparity in STEM can be attributed to varioussocietal, cultural, institutional, and health barriers.

The choice of STEM majors and careers is heavily influenced by societal and cultural aspirations. Research demonstrates the impact of gender stereotypes on career perceptions, indicating that such stereotypes significantly affect young individuals' intentions to pursue STEM fields (Scherz & Oren, 2006; Makarova et al., 2019). The influence of cultural ideas and the learning environment on girls' achievements and interest in STEM further underlines the importance of mentorship (Mukhwana et al., 2020). Girls exhibit increased interest in mathematics when encouraged by teachers and parents, emphasizing the critical role of mentorship in combating gender disparity in STEM (AAUW, 2023).

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XII, Issue X, October 2023

In addition to societal and cultural health factors, institutional factors contributesignificantly to female representation in STEM. Organizational culture and institutional rules often overlook gender considerations, creating barriers for women in compliance and advancement within academic and research settings (Sougou et al., 2022). Community colleges and universities play a crucial role in diversifying the STEM field and must be proactive in implementing laws and regulations to address gender pay gaps (Marco-Bujosa et al., 2021; García-Holgado, 2020).

Furthermore, the challenging interactions experienced within the higher education STEM environment contribute to heightened stress, anxiety, and other mental health issues among graduate women from diverse racial and ethnic backgrounds (Wilkins-Yel et al., 2022;Oliveira-Silva et al., 2022). This disturbing trend underscores the urgent need for targeted interventions and supportive structures within higher education to address the unique challenges faced by graduate women in STEM, particularly those from various racial and ethnic backgrounds. Overall, concerted efforts are essential to reduce gender disparities and enhance the inclusivity and support systems within STEM disciplines.

V. Research Methodology

This study employs an interdisciplinary framework that draws on insights from sociology, psychology, and public health to investigate gender disparities and health within theSTEM domain. This approach provides a comprehensive analysis of the issue, enabling a deeper understanding of the intricate relationships within the STEM ecosystem. By integrating findings from diverse fields, it allows for a holistic exploration of the complex interplay between societal, institutional, and cultural factors and their impact on individuals' well-being.

The research methodology embraced a sophisticated strategy to gather extensive data for the investigation. The study investigator curated information from reputable scholarly journals, surveys, and academic papers within the STEM domains. This triangulation of data, juxtaposed with real-life experiences of women in STEM, enabled a nuanced understanding of the subject matter. The integration of qualitative and critical analyses in data evaluation facilitated a thorough examination of gender disparities and health-related concerns. Leveraging literature reviews further enriched the study, providing a robust knowledge base todelve into the intricate dimensions of gender disparities and health within STEM.

VI. Findings

1. Serious Underrepresentation of Women in STEM Fields Persists to this Day.

The glaring gender disparities within STEM fields are unmistakable, notably affectingthe representation of women in critical areas such as engineering and computer science at boththe undergraduate and professional levels. This imbalance serves as undeniable evidence of thepervasive gender inequities deeply ingrained in STEM. The concerning wage gap between menand women in STEM careers further exemplifies this disparity, with male counterparts earning substantially more on average. This financial inequality is exacerbated for women of color, aggravating pre-existing economic discrepancies within the sector.

Moreover, biases in hiring and promotion practices within the STEM job market are clear indications of gender prejudice. Despite possessing qualifications on par with their malecounterparts, women encounter significant challenges in securing job interviews and advancing in their careers due to this evident bias. These systemic prejudices not only hinder the progress of women within the STEM domain but also sustain the gender imbalances pervasive in the industry. Urgent and comprehensive measures are imperative to address and rectify these deeply entrenched problems, fostering a more inclusive and equitable environment for all individuals in STEM.

2. Stereotyping, Lack of Role Models and Insensitive Institutional Cultures are Deterrent Parameters

The aspirations of young girls and women to pursue STEM careers face substantial discouragement due to deeply entrenched stereotypes and cultural beliefs. These societal prejudices often pigeonhole scientists and STEM professionals into gender-specific roles, falsely reinforcing the notion that STEM is primarily a male-dominated field. This misconception creates unnecessary barriers for women aspiring to enter the STEM domain. Additionally, the dearth of female role models in STEM, both in media portrayal and real-liferepresentation, aggravates this issue. The scarcity of successful women in STEM makes it challenging for ambitious female scientists and engineers to find relatable figures to look up to, further hindering their pursuit.

This problem is particularly pronounced for Black women, who struggle to find adequate representation and role models within STEM disciplines. The lack of diverse representation exacerbates the gender gap and creates an additional layer of hindrance for aspiring women in STEM. Furthermore, institutional factors within universities and research facilities contribute to the widening gender gap in STEM. These institutions often maintain corporate cultures and policies that are insensitive to gender differences, fostering an environment where women are pressured to conform to traditional gender stereotypes. This conformity

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XII, Issue X, October 2023

hampers their career progression, perpetuating gender disparities in STEM fields.

To foster a more inclusive and equitable STEM environment for women, it is crucial toaddress these institutional barriers. Efforts should focus on challenging stereotypes, promotingdiverse role models, and implementing policies that actively support gender equality and diversity within STEM institutions. By dismantling these obstacles and fostering an environment that celebrates diversity and inclusion, we can pave the way for a more balancedrepresentation of women in STEM and unlock their full potential.

3. Perceived Ill Mental Health is an Obstructing Barrier to Aspiring Female Scientists

The concerning prevalence of mental health issues among graduate women in STEM, particularly those hailing from diverse racial and ethnic backgrounds, sheds light on a critical aspect of the sector that could dissuade young girls from pursuing STEM careers. The prospectof grappling with heightened levels of stress, despondency, anxiety, and even contemplation ofsuicide due to the rigors of higher education can present a formidable barrier. This potential toll on mental health may discourage young girls from viewing STEM careers as viable options, further exacerbating gender gaps in the STEM domain. It is imperative to address these mental health concerns in STEM to ensure the well-being of current professionals and cultivate a supportive environment that encourages the next generation of female scientists and engineers. By prioritizing mental health support and fostering a nurturing atmosphere, we can dismantle this barrier and pave the way for a more inclusive and mentally resilient STEM community.

VII. Recommendations

1. Implement Affirmative Action and Equal Pay Measures

Addressing the pervasive underrepresentation of women in STEM requires proactive measures by stakeholders, governments, and partnerships. Enforcing legislation that mandatesSTEM organizations to actively recruit, promote, and support women, particularly women of color, is crucial. Implementing affirmative action measures and ensuring equal compensation for comparable work is essential. This intervention not only aims to bridge the wage gap but also serves as a powerful incentive for more women to enter and persist in STEM fields. By actively promoting diversity and equality through legal frameworks and policies, we can create a more inclusive and supportive environment within STEM, fostering the growth and participation of women across all levels of the industry. Future studies should concentrate on defining and monitoring indicators for workforce diversity, representation at different levels, and wage gap reduction inside STEM firms in order to assess the efficacy of these efforts. Resistance to change and the requirement for continuing evaluation to guarantee the viability of these initiatives are two potential obstacles.

2. Encourage Diversity and Inclusion in STEM Fields of Study and Employment

Governments play a critical role in fostering diversity and inclusion within STEM by enacting and enforcing laws that mandate workplaces and educational institutions to prioritize these principles. Furthermore, stakeholders should actively promote mentorship programs that connect female students and professionals with accomplished women in STEM. This mentorship initiative can provide guidance, support, and encouragement, helpingto bridge the gender gap.

To challenge stereotypes and biases, both stakeholders and governments should investin media campaigns that showcase diverse STEM role models. By funding such initiatives, we can dispel misconceptions and inspire more individuals from underrepresented groups to pursue STEM careers. In addition, efforts should be directed towards implementing sensitivity training and cultural awareness initiatives within STEM organizations. This will help in creating inclusive environments where individuals feel respected and valued regardless of their background, fostering a culture of diversity and collaboration within the STEM community. Collectively, these actions will contribute to a more inclusive, diverse, and welcoming STEM landscape. While mandated regulations, mentorship programs, and media campaigns should be a communal effort to overcome prejudices and biases, the metrics for measuring success of such interventions could include increasing female enrolment in STEM programs and the availability of varied role models. Resistance to change may be a challenge, but this can be overcome by constantly assessing the situation and making adjustments to your strategy.

3. Create Extensive Programs for Mental Health Support

Effectively combating mental health stigma within the STEM community necessitatesthe creation and robust support of mental health programs tailored to the specific needs of underrepresented groups, including women and minorities. These programs should encompass accessible counseling services, stress-reduction classes, and a plethora of mental health resources. Establishing an environment where individuals feel safe to discuss mental health openly without fear of repercussions is crucial.

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XII, Issue X, October 2023

STEM institutions should strive to destigmatize mental health conversations and encourage individuals to seek help without apprehensions. In addition to creating these programs, it is equally important for stakeholders to ensurethat they are widely available and extensively publicized. By addressing mental health concerns openly and proactively, we can alleviate fears about mental health issues and reduce barriers that may deter individuals, especially women and minorities, from pursuing rewarding careersin STEM. This holistic approach promotes a culture of well-being, ultimately contributing to amore inclusive and mentally healthy STEM community. Increased use of mental health services and a decline in the stigma attached to talking about mental health may be used as success indicators for these projects. Cultural hurdles and the requirement for continual awareness initiatives to encourage open discussions about mental health within the STEM community are possible obstacles. Future studies can examine how well these programs work to improve mental health and how that affects how long people stay in STEM careers.

VIII. Conclusion

In summary, this comprehensive study sheds light on the intricate array of factors contributing to the ongoing underrepresentation of women in STEM fields. Despite regulatory efforts, women continue to hold a minority share in STEM, facing challenges rooted in societalnorms, cultural biases, institutional barriers, and mental health pressures. To tackle this issue and drive gender equality within STEM, it is imperative to enact affirmative action, enforce equal pay regulations, and establish comprehensive diversity initiatives. Dispelling stereotypesand providing robust mentorship and mental health support tailored to the unique needs of marginalized groups are equally vital. This study underscores the critical necessity of fully understanding and addressing the multifaceted factors perpetuating gender disparities inSTEM, emphasizing the urgent call for collaborative efforts involving governments, institutions, and stakeholders to nurture a more inclusive and equitable STEM landscape.

References

1. Bailey, M. J., Helgerman, T. E., & Stuart, B. A. (2023). How the 1963 Equal Pay Act and 1964 Civil Rights Act Shaped the Gender Gap in Pay (No. w31332). National Bureauof Economic Research.
2. Bureau, U. C. (2021, October 8). Stem and stem-related occupations by sex and medianearnings: ACS 2019. Census.gov. https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/income-poverty/stem-occ-sex-med-earnings.html
3. Cheryan, S., Siy, J. O., Vichayapai, M., Drury, B. J., & Kim, S. (2011). Do female and malerole models who embody STEM stereotypes hinder women's anticipated success in STEM? Social psychological and personality science, 2(6), 656-664.
4. García-Holgado, A., Mena, J., García-Peñalvo, F. J., Pascual, J., Heikkinen, M., Harmoinen, S., ... & Amores, L. (2020, April). Gender equality in STEM programs: a proposal toanalyze the situation of a university about the gender gap. In 2020 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON) (pp. 1824-1830). IEEE.
5. Kantrowitz, M. (2022, October 12). Women Achieve Gains In STEM Fields. Forbes. https://www.forbes.com/sites/markkantrowitz/2022/04/07/women-achieve-gains-in- stem-fields/?sh=41d7ba945ac5
6. Kong, S., Carroll, K., Lundberg, D., Omura, P., & Lepe, B. (2020). Reducing gender bias inSTEM. MIT Science Policy Review, 1, 55-63.
7. Makarova, E., Aeschlimann, B., & Herzog, W. (2019). The gender gap in STEM fields: The impact of the gender stereotype of math and science on secondary students' career aspirations. In Frontiers in Education (p. 60). Frontiers.
8. Marco-Bujosa, L. M., Joy, L., & Sorrentino, R. (2021). Nevertheless, she persisted: A comparison of male and female experiences in community college STEM programs. Community College Journal of Research and Practice, 45(8), 541-559.
9. McDermott-Murphy, C. (2022, June 23). Women in STEM need more than a law. Harvard Gazette. https://news.harvard.edu/gazette/story/2022/06/women-in-stem-need-more-than-a-law/ Oliveira-Silva, L. C., & de Lima, M. C. C. (2022). The mental health of women in STEM. Psico, 53(1), e38473-e38473.
10. Mukhwana, A. M., Abuya, T., Matanda, D., Omumbo, J., & Mabuka, J. (2020). Factors which Contribute to or Inhibit Women in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics in Africa. Nairobi: AAS.
11. Scherz, Z., and Oren, M. (2006). How to change students' images of science and technology. Sci. Educ. 90, 965–985. doi: 10.1002/sce.20159
12. The STEM Gap: Women and Girls in Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics. AAUW. (2023, August 29). https://www.aauw.org/resources/research/the-stem-gap/
13. Wilkins-Yel, K. G., Arnold, A. C., Bekki, J. M., Bernstein, B. L., Natarajan, M. W., & Randall, A. K. (2022). I can't push off my own mental health": Chilly STEM Climates and the Impact on Mental Health and Persistence among Graduate Women in STEM. Sex roles, 86(3).