INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LATEST TECHNOLOGY IN ENGINEERING, MANAGEMENT & APPLIED SCIENCE (IJLTEMAS)

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIII, Issue I, January 2024

The Integration of Technology in Educational Management among HEIs in Kaduna State, Nigeria: Challenges and Strategies

Dr. Samuel Hayatu Emmanuel

Benguet State University

DOI: https://doi.org/10.51583/IJLTEMAS.2024.130104

Received: 07 January 2024; Accepted: 10 January 2024; Published: 06 February 2024

Abstract: This study investigated the integration of technology in educational management, focusing on four objectives guided by Diffusion of Innovations Theory, Technology Acceptance Model, and Lewin Change Management Theory. Employing a combined qualitative and quantitative research design, the study targeted 197 educators and administrators across four Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) in Kaduna State, Nigeria. The purposive sampling method was utilized, with data collected through survey and interviews.The impact of technology integration on administrative processes and decision-making revealed that institutions with high integration levels exhibited increased efficiency and decision-making improvements. In assessing the effectiveness of various educational technologies, Virtual Reality (VR) stood out, emphasizing the influence of technology choice on the overall educational experience. Challenges faced by educational managers include limited financial resources, resistance from faculty and staff, inadequate infrastructure, and the absence of comprehensive training programs.Strategies for overcoming resistance to technological innovations highlight the success of comprehensive training programs and clear communication with transparency, emphasizing the importance of a thoughtful approach to strategy selection. The study identified a positive association between robust technology integration and enhanced administrative performance. Different technologies yield varied results in teaching and learning experiences, emphasizing the need for strategic technology selection. It was concluded that higher levels of technology integration in educational institutions lead to increased efficiency and improved decision-making. Notably, Virtual Reality (VR) stands out as the most effective educational technology, emphasizing the impact of technology choices on teaching and learning experiences. Addressing challenges faced by educational managers, particularly through comprehensive training programs, is crucial for overcoming obstacles and improving overall educational outcomes. In addition the study recommended that HEIs should prioritize comprehensive training programs for educators and administrators to address challenges identified. This can bridge gaps in technological proficiency, ensuring that stakeholders are well-equipped to navigate and leverage the benefits of integrated technologies effectively.

Key Words: Technology, educational management, challenges, strategies

I. Introduction

Background of the Study

In the dynamic landscape of Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) in Nigeria, the integration of technology into educational management has emerged as a pivotal and transformative force, signifying a fundamental shift in the foundations of these institutions (Igwe et al. 2021). The rapid advancement of technology, marked by the proliferation of digital tools and innovative solutions, has ushered in profound changes in the operational paradigm of HEIs, extending its influence notably into administrative processes and decision-making realms (Olaleye et al. 2020). This integration goes beyond being a fleeting trend; it signifies a fundamental reorientation in the very fabric of higher education institutions. The cited literature emphasizes that it is not merely about incorporating technology as an accessory but rather a systemic evolution that reshapes the traditional ways in which educational institutions function (McCowan et al. 2022). The adoption of digital tools becomes a cornerstone in the institutional evolution, influencing the core mechanisms that drive administrative efficiency and decision-making processes within HEIs (Aithal & Maiya, 2023).

The transformative impact of technology on administrative processes is unmistakably profound and pervasive. Advanced digital tools, such as sophisticated Information Management Systems (IMS) and user-friendly software applications, have successfully overhauled traditionally cumbersome tasks, as highlighted by Saxena et al. (2023). This technological evolution has ushered in a revolution in record-keeping, communication, and data analysis within Higher Education Institutions (HEIs). The implementation of these cutting-edge tools signifies a paradigm shift in administrative practices. Electronic databases and cloud-based systems, as emphasized by Ashaari et al. (2021) have supplanted manual record-keeping methods, significantly diminishing the likelihood of errors and elevating the overall efficiency of administrative functions to unprecedented levels. In addition to

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIII, Issue I, January 2024

enhancing efficiency, the advent of digital communication platforms has fostered a more interconnected institutional environment. As noted by Putri et al. (2023) research, these platforms facilitate seamless interaction among administrators, faculty, and staff, transcending geographical barriers. This interconnectedness not only expedites decision-making processes but also cultivates a collaborative and cohesive atmosphere within the academic community.

The efficiency gains from technology integration extend to decision-making processes within HEIs. Administrators now have access to real-time data and analytics, enabling data-driven decision-making. This shift from intuition-based decisions to evidence-based strategies enhances the effectiveness of institutional management. For instance, enrollment trends, financial forecasts, and student performance data can be analyzed promptly, empowering administrators to make informed decisions that align with the institution's goals and objectives. The integration of digital tools into educational management not only enhances efficiency but also contributes to a more strategic and agile institutional approach. The ability to adapt swiftly to changing circumstances is crucial in the ever-evolving landscape of higher education. Technology provides administrators with the tools to navigate challenges and seize opportunities in a timely manner, contributing to the overall resilience and sustainability of HEIs (Teng et al. 2023).

The landscape of integrating technology in educational management is riddled with challenges, creating a multifaceted terrain for educational managers to navigate. A paramount obstacle is the persistent constraint of limited financial resources, as elucidated by Malbas et al. (2023) study. This constraint not only impedes the acquisition of advanced technological infrastructure but also hinders the comprehensive implementation of training programs for educators. The repercussions of inadequate funding extend beyond initial barriers, posing a threat to the scalability and sustainability of technology-driven initiatives within Higher Education Institutions (HEIs), as emphasized by Gkrimpizi et al. (2023). Furthermore, the inadequacy of infrastructure compounds the challenges, creating roadblocks to the seamless integration of technological tools into existing educational systems. Ogunode and Musa (2020) shed light on this critical issue, emphasizing the need for a robust infrastructure to support the effective incorporation of technology in educational management. Another formidable challenge arises from resistance within the academic community, particularly from faculty and staff members. Dzingirai (2020) explored into this aspect, highlighting that educators, accustomed to traditional teaching methodologies, may perceive technology as disruptive or an additional burden. This reluctance to adopt new practices can manifest in various forms, from skepticism about the effectiveness of technological tools to concerns about job displacement or increased workload, as noted by Kamat and Nasnodkar (2019). Understanding and proactively addressing this resistance become paramount for the successful implementation of technology in educational management.

The cited research highlights that these challenges impede the seamless implementation and sustainability of technology-driven changes within HEIs. The nuanced understanding of these impediments is essential for devising effective strategies to overcome them. The research in Kaduna State HEIs specifically delved into the unique challenges faced by educational managers in the region, providing insights into the contextual factors influencing the integration process. This localized approach is imperative for tailoring interventions and strategies that align with the specific needs and constraints of the educational landscape in Kaduna State (Danjuma et al. 2023). While the benefits of technology integration in educational management are evident, challenges such as limited financial resources, inadequate infrastructure, and resistance from faculty and staff members present significant hurdles. This research contributed to a deeper understanding of these challenges, offering valuable insights into the specific context and facilitating the development of targeted strategies to overcome obstacles and foster successful technology integration in HEIs.

In essence, the integration of technology in educational management within Higher Education Institutions (HEIs), especially among HEIs in Kaduna State, Nigeria, is a multifaceted process that reverberates across various dimensions of academic operations. This transformative shift extends beyond mere digitization, influencing administrative processes, teaching methodologies, and the overall learning experiences of students. The research objectives, guided by a comprehensive review of relevant literature and existing studies, were strategically crafted to unravel the intricacies of this multifaceted integration. The intent is to provide a nuanced understanding of how technology intertwines with the unique educational landscape of Kaduna State HEIs, considering the local context and challenges. Through a meticulous examination of the challenges and a proactive exploration of effective strategies, the research endeavors to provide actionable insights that empower educational institutions in Kaduna State to navigate the complexities of technology integration successfully. Moreover, as the educational landscape continues to evolve, embracing technology becomes imperative for HEIs to stay competitive and responsive to the dynamic needs of the educational ecosystem.

Furthermore, the National Policy on Education (NPE) in Nigeria outlined the comprehensive goals and objectives for Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) to address the evolving needs of the nation. As stipulated in the NPE (2004), one key goal is

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIII, Issue I, January 2024

to provide a broad-based education that fosters intellectual and professional development, equipping students with critical thinking skills and competencies required for national development. Additionally, the policy underscores the importance of promoting research and innovation within HEIs to contribute to the advancement of knowledge and address societal challenges, aligning with the broader national development agenda (Ajibade, 2019). Furthermore, the NPE emphasizes the need for HEIs to play a role in promoting social equity and ensuring access to quality education across diverse demographic groups, reflecting a commitment to inclusivity and national development (Jacob et al. 2020).

The goals and objectives outlined in the NPE provide a foundational framework. The integration of technology in educational management is a strategic imperative to enhance the quality of education, aligning with the goal of providing a broad-based and technologically relevant curriculum (Chukwuemeka & Samaila, 2020). Challenges in this integration, such as limited technological infrastructure and the need for faculty development, need to be addressed in consonance with the NPE's objective of promoting research and innovation. Strategies to overcome these challenges, such as comprehensive training programs, resonate with the NPE's emphasis on equipping students with the necessary skills for national development. Thus, this research contributes to the fulfillment of the NPE's goals by exploring how technology integration aligns with and enhances the objectives set forth in the national policy for Higher Education in Nigeria.

II. Review of Literature

The literature review explores key themes related to the integration of technology in educational management within Higher Education Institutions (HEIs). In the examination of the impact of technology on administrative processes and decision-making within Higher Education Institutions (HEIs), various studies offer valuable insights. Habib et al. (2021) revealed that technology integration streamlines administrative processes through digital tools, contributing to increased efficiency and a reduction in manual errors. However, a significant gap in the literature arises as the study does not provide a nuanced exploration of the challenges faced by educational managers in specific regional contexts, such as Kaduna State. Additionally, Palanivel (2020) contributed findings that highlight the role of Information Management Systems (IMS) in facilitating informed decision-making through real-time data and analytics. Despite these positive impacts, the research falls short in delving into the scalability and sustainability of technology-driven changes, leaving crucial questions about the long-term implications unanswered. This gap underscores the need for further exploration into the lasting effects and challenges associated with the integration of IMS in educational management.Furthermore, the work of Shawyun (2021) sheds light on the positive impact of digital communication platforms in fostering a connected institutional environment that transcends geographical barriers. While the study emphasized the benefits, it neglects to address potential disparities in technology adoption and connectivity, particularly in regions marked by resource constraints, such as Kaduna State, Nigeria. This gap in the literature calls for additional research to understand how technological disparities may influence the overall effectiveness of communication platforms in diverse educational contexts.

Within the realm of exploring the effectiveness of educational technologies, multiple studies contributed significant findings while revealing notable gaps in the existing literature. Serrano et al. (2019) demonstrated that the integration of multimedia elements into teaching materials positively impacts student engagement, leading to enhanced learning experiences. However, a critical gap emerges as the literature lacks an exploration of how these technologies might be perceived or adapted within the specific cultural and educational context of Kaduna State. This gap emphasizes the need for region-specific research to understand the cultural dynamics that may influence the effectiveness of multimedia integration in teaching practices. Similarly, Ahmed and Mesonovich (2019) provided findings that highlight the positive impact of educational technologies, such as Learning Management Systems (LMS), on student performance and knowledge retention. Despite these positive outcomes, a significant gap exists in the understanding of potential disparities in access to these technologies, particularly in regions marked by limited infrastructure. Further research is essential to uncover the challenges associated with access to educational technologies in Kaduna State, offering insights into potential solutions for improving accessibility.Additionally, the work of Aldheleai et al. (2019) delved into the challenges of technology integration, emphasizing issues like limited financial resources, inadequate infrastructure, and resistance from faculty and staff. However, the study falls short in providing specifics on the unique challenges faced by educational managers in regions like Kaduna State. This gap underscores the importance of region-specific investigations to uncover the distinct challenges that may impede the effective integration of educational technologies within the local educational landscape.

When examining the challenges faced by educational managers in the context of technology integration, several studies offer insights into potential solutions while exposing notable gaps in the existing literature. Casado-Pérez (2019) highlighted that resistance from educators can be mitigated through comprehensive training sessions and mentorship programs. However, a significant gap emerges as the study lacks a regional focus, and the effectiveness of these strategies may vary in contexts with specific challenges, such as Kaduna State. The need for region-specific insights becomes apparent to tailor strategies that address

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIII, Issue I, January 2024

the unique challenges faced by educational managers in Kaduna State.Similarly, Huang and Teo (2020) identified that developing a supportive institutional culture can reduce resistance to technological innovations among educators and administrators. Despite this finding, there exists a gap in the understanding of how cultural factors unique to Kaduna State might influence the adoption and acceptance of technology. Further research is necessary to explore the cultural dynamics within the region, providing insights into how institutional culture can be cultivated to foster a more receptive environment towards technological advancements.Furthermore, the work of Onyema (2020) points out that inadequate infrastructure poses a significant challenge to technology integration in educational institutions. However, the research falls short in exploring potential solutions or strategies to overcome these infrastructure challenges, leaving a gap in practical insights for educational managers in regions with limited resources. This emphasizes the need for research that not only identifies challenges but also provides actionable recommendations for overcoming infrastructure barriers in the specific context of Kaduna State.

In exploring strategies for overcoming resistance to technological innovations among educators and administrators, several studies contribute valuable findings while revealing notable gaps in the existing literature. Grapin and Pereiras (2019) delved into the efficacy of comprehensive training sessions and mentorship programs as strategies to address resistance from educators. While the study provided insights into these approaches, it lacks a regional focus, and the effectiveness of these strategies may vary in contexts with specific challenges, such as Kaduna State. This gap highlights the need for research that tailors resistance-mitigation strategies to the unique educational landscape and challenges faced by educators in the region.Moreover, Kumari et al. (2019) explored the importance of developing a supportive institutional culture in reducing resistance to technological innovations. The findings emphasize the role of cultural factors in shaping attitudes towards technology. However, there is a gap in the literature concerning how cultural factors unique to Kaduna State might specifically influence the adoption and acceptance of technology. Further research is essential to provide nuanced insights into the cultural dynamics within the region, enabling the development of strategies that align with the local cultural context.Additionally, Francom et al. (2021) sheds light on the significant challenge of resistance from educators and administrators. While the study acknowledged the existence of this resistance, it falls short in offering concrete strategies or solutions to address the issue. The gap lies in the absence of practical insights or actionable recommendations for educational managers in regions with resistance challenges. Further research is crucial to identify and explore effective strategies that go beyond acknowledging resistance, providing a roadmap for successful technology adoption in the specific context of Kaduna State.

Theoretical Framework

Rogers' (1962) Diffusion of Innovations Theory serves as a crucial lens through which to delve into the impact of technology integration within educational institutions. The theory posited the stages through which technological innovations diffuse within the organizational structure. By identifying these stages and understanding the factors influencing decision-making processes among educational administrators, the study gains insights into the intricacies of integrating technology into administrative practices (Rogers, 2003). The Diffusion of Innovations Theory guides the identification of key factors influencing the resistance to technological innovations among educators and administrators. This understanding becomes the cornerstone for developing targeted strategies that facilitate the adoption process and navigate challenges associated with resistance, aligning interventions with the stages of the innovation-decision process (García-Avilés, 2020).

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), developed by Davis (1989), emerges as a vital theoretical framework for addressing the effectiveness of various educational technologies in enhancing teaching and learning experiences. TAM focuses on individual perceptions, attitudes, and behavioral intentions toward technology adoption (Davis, 1989). In this study, TAM becomes instrumental in understanding how educators and administrators perceive and accept different technologies. By applying TAM, the research systematically evaluated acceptance levels, providing a comprehensive understanding of the adoption process within the educational context. The emphasis on perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness aligns with the study's goal of evaluating the impact of educational technologies on teaching and learning outcomes, as TAM sheds light on the factors influencing decision-making processes related to the adoption of specific technologies (Granić & Marangunić, 2019).

Change Management Theories, represented by Lewin's (1947) Change Management Model, take center stage in addressing the challenges faced by educational managers in implementing and sustaining technology-driven changes. This theory provides valuable insights into organizational dynamics during periods of change. Lewin's model, grounded in social psychology, outlines the unfreezing, changing, and refreezing stages of organizational change (Burnes, 2020). Applied to educational technology integration where resistance can be a significant barrier, this theoryoffer strategies for managing resistance and ensuring the successful implementation and sustainability of technology-driven changes. Understanding these stages allows the study to develop recommendations aligned with the principles of effective change management, facilitating a more seamless integration of technology within the educational environment (Levy, 2021).

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIII, Issue I, January 2024

Overall, these three theoretical frameworks collectively form the bedrock for the study's exploration of technology integration in educational institutions. Rogers' Diffusion of Innovations Theory offers insights into the stages and factors influencing technology adoption, while TAM provides a lens for understanding individual perceptions and attitudes toward technology adoption. Change Management Theory, exemplified by Lewin's model, guided the study in navigating the challenges associated with change initiatives. Together, these theories supported the comprehensive examination of the impact, acceptance, challenges, and strategies associated with technology adoption within HEIs in Kaduna State, Nigeria.

Research Objectives

This study primarily sought to evaluate the incorporation of technology in educational management, with a specific focus on Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) in Kaduna State, Nigeria. The overarching goal was to examine the challenges and strategies associated with this integration. The objectives of the study are to:

1. Investigate the impact of technology integration on administrative processes and decision-making within HEIs.
2. Assess the effectiveness of various educational technologies in enhancing teaching and learning experiences.
3. Examine the challenges faced by educational managers in implementing and sustaining technology-driven changes.
4. Explore strategies for overcoming resistance to technological innovations among educators and administrators.

Research Questions

The study provided answers to the following specific research questions:

1. What is the impact of technology integration on administrative processes and decision-making within HEIs?
2. What is the effectiveness of various educational technologies in enhancing teaching and learning experiences?
3. What are the challenges faced by educational managers in implementing and sustaining technology-driven changes?
4. What are the strategies for overcoming resistance to technological innovations among educators and administrators?

Significance of the Study

This study holds significant implications for diverse stakeholders within the education sector, promising tailored benefits to address their specific roles and concerns. Educational administrators and managers stand to gain a profound understanding of the challenges and strategies associated with integrating technology into educational management, empowering them to make informed decisions and streamline administrative processes for more effective institutional management. Educators, on the other hand, can leverage the study's outcomes to enhance teaching and learning experiences through the adept incorporation of technology, fostering dynamic and engaging classrooms. Students, as direct beneficiaries, can anticipate an enriched learning environment with improved access to educational materials and resources. Government officials and policymakers can draw upon the study's findings to inform the development of supportive policies, ensuring that technology integration aligns seamlessly with educational objectives. Technology providers, armed with insights into the specific challenges faced by institutions in Kaduna State, can tailor their offerings to meet the unique needs of these educational environments. Meanwhile, researchers and academia benefit from the study's contribution to the knowledge base, paving the way for further exploration and comparative studies. Parents and guardians, integral stakeholders in education, can find assurance in the commitment to providing a technologically advanced and effective learning environment for their children. In essence, the study's outcomes promise transformative changes, fostering a more technologically adept, efficient, and student-centric educational landscape in Kaduna State, Nigeria.

III. Methodology

Presented in this section of the study are the processes and procedures applied in gathering the relevant data for this study. This included the research design, population and locale, data gathering tools, data gathering procedures as well as the treatment of data.

Research Design

In this study, a combined qualitative and quantitative research design was employed to comprehensively explore the multifaceted phenomenon of technology integration in educational management. Qualitative research delves into the intricacies of human experiences through non-numerical data sources like narratives, interviews, and observations, emphasizing the richness of contextual understanding (Qolamani, 2023). On the other hand, quantitative research focuses on collecting numerical data and statistically analyzing it to quantify relationships, patterns, and trends, providing a more generalized understanding of the research

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIII, Issue I, January 2024

topic (Mohajan, 2020). The decision to integrate both qualitative and quantitative approaches is justified by the acknowledgment that technology integration in educational management involves a diverse array of factors. This combined approach allowed the study to move beyond numerical trends and delved into the depth and richness of individual experiences and perspectives. By leveraging the strengths of both methodologies, the research provided a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and strategies associated with technology integration within the specific context of Higher Education Institutions in Kaduna State.

Population and Locale

The study focused on a targeted population of 197 educators and administrators out of a total of 400 individuals within four Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) in Kaduna State, Nigeria, as determined by the Raosoft (2004) statistical tool. The sampling method employed is purposive, a deliberate choice made to ensure that participants selected possess specific characteristics or experiences relevant to the research objectives (Obilor, 2023).The justification for using purposive sampling in this context lies in the desire to capture a nuanced and in-depth understanding of the challenges and strategies associated with technology integration in educational management within the specific context of Kaduna State HEIs. By selectively targeting individuals with direct involvement and expertise in the integration process, such as administrators and educators, the gathered insights that are contextually relevant and representative of the complexities inherent in this specific educational setting (Maestripieri et al. 2019).Criteria for selecting respondents include individuals who held leadership, supervisory and managerial roles in educational administration and teaching within the chosen HEIs. This ensured that the sample comprised individuals with firsthand experience and perspectives on the challenges and strategies associated with technology integration. Additionally, respondents and participants were selected based on their willingness to participate and their availability during the research period, ensuring a cooperative and feasible engagement in the study. The purposive sampling approach, guided by these criteria, enhanced the study's ability to glean meaningful and contextually rich insights from individuals directly involved in the technology integration processes within HEIs in Kaduna State.

Data gathering Tools

A dual approach was employed, utilizing both survey and interview guide questions. The survey and interview instruments were personally crafted by the researcher, reflecting the specific nuances of the research objectives. To ensure the content validity of these instruments, they underwent a rigorous evaluation process by four experts in the field of educational administration and management. The input from these experts contributed to refining the instruments, enhancing their relevance and appropriateness for the study.Following the content validation, both the survey and interview instruments were deemed valid and pertinent for the investigation. To further establish the reliability of the survey, a pilot test was conducted with 30 respondents outside the initially selected group. This pilot testing, an essential step for refining the tools, involved assessing the consistency of responses using Cronbach's Alpha. The outcome of this reliability testing yielded a coefficient of 0.9671, indicating an excellent level of internal consistency for the survey. This high coefficient suggests that the survey instrument consistently measures the intended constructs, enhancing the robustness of data collection and ensuring the reliability of the study's findings.

Data Gathering Procedures

The process of data collection for this study unfolded in a digital realm, utilizing Google Forms as the primary online platform for survey administration. The decision to employ Google Forms was underpinned by its user-friendly interface, accessibility, and efficiency in gathering responses from a dispersed group of participants. This online survey link was meticulously disseminated to the targeted respondents through diverse channels, including email accounts and popular social media platforms such as WhatsApp and Facebook. This approach not only facilitated a broad reach but also allowed for a convenient and flexible response mechanism, aligning with the dynamic nature of the educational professionals involved. The survey phase transpired over a meticulously organized span of 11 days, ensuring a sufficient timeframe for participants to provide thoughtful and comprehensive responses. Following the survey, a select group of participants, comprising 11 administrators and 8 educators, engaged in online interviews personally conducted by the researcher. Each interview session, lasting between 30 to 55 minutes, provided a dedicated platform for a detailed exploration of individual perspectives and experiences related to technology integration in educational management.

Ethical considerations played a paramount role throughout the data collection process, with a commitment to ensuring the well-being and rights of participants. Key ethical principles, including anonymity, confidentiality, informed consent, and the freedom to withdraw from the study, were diligently upheld. Participants were assured that their identities and responses would be safeguarded, fostering an environment of trust and openness. In adherence to the legal and environmental standards of Nigeria, data gathered from both the survey and interviews were securely managed and ultimately destroyed, aligning with regulations on electronic waste management. This meticulous approach to data handling not only upholds ethical standards but also contributes

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIII, Issue I, January 2024

to environmental sustainability and responsible research practices. In essence, the comprehensive data collection process, incorporating both survey and interview components, was characterized by a blend of technological efficiency, ethical mindfulness, and environmental responsibility.

Statistical Treatment of Data

Frequency counts, percentages, and inferential statistical tools were used to analyze and interpret the data gathered and used in the subsequent section of this paper. This multifaceted approach to analysis ensured a thorough exploration of the dataset, providing a robust foundation for the interpretations presented in the subsequent sections of the paper.

III. Results and Discussion

Presented in this section of the paper are the results and discussion based on the data gathered from the survey and interviews. The results and discussion were systematically presented based on the arrangement of the research objectives. Through a comprehensive lens, these results and discussions contributed nuanced perspectives, fostering a deeper understanding of the dynamic landscape where technology intersects with education in HEIs in Kaduna State.

Table 1: Impact of Technology Integration on Administrative Processes and Decision-Making within Educational Institutions

HEI Institution	Technology Integration Level	Efficiency Score (Out of 100)	Decision-Making Improvement (%)
School A	High Moderate	85	30
School B	Moderate	70	20
School C	Low	50	15
School D	High	88	35

The analysis of the results from Table 1, focusing on the impact of technology integration on administrative processes and decision-making within educational institutions, reveals noteworthy patterns. Institutions with a high level of technology integration, such as School A and School D, exhibit substantially higher efficiency scores (85 and 88, respectively) compared to those with moderate (School B) and low (School C) integration levels. In a study by Dormann et al. (2019), a positive correlation between technology integration and administrative efficiency was identified, substantiating the higher efficiency scores observed in institutions with advanced technology integration levels, such as School A and School D. The efficiency scores of 85 and 88, respectively, in these high-integration schools, underscore the transformative potential of technology in expediting administrative tasks.

Furthermore, the decision-making improvement percentages exhibited a consistent pattern across institutions with varying technology integration levels. The institutions with high technology integration levels, namely School A and School D, showcased a more substantial improvement (30% and 35%, respectively) compared to those with moderate (20%) and low (15%) integration levels. These findings align with the research conducted by Kilag et al. (2023), emphasizing the role of comprehensive technology integration in fostering a more informed and effective decision-making culture. The personal interviews with administrators from School A and School D supported these results, with administrators highlighting the positive impact of technology on decision-making. One administrator remarked, "the integration of advanced technologies has significantly enhanced our ability to access real-time data, enabling us to make informed decisions promptly" (Interviewee “1”).

Conversely, institutions with lower levels of technology integration, such as School B and School C, demonstrated comparatively lower efficiency scores and decision-making improvement percentages. This resonates with the findings of Giesenbauer and Müller-Christ (2020), who notes that institutions with limited technology integration may face challenges in optimizing administrative processes and decision-making. Personal interviews with administrators from School B and School C added depth to this understanding, with one administrator expressing, "While we acknowledge the potential benefits of increased technology integration, we face constraints in terms of resources and training, hindering our progress" (Interviewee “2”). The implications of these results suggest the need for tailored professional development programs to empower educators and administrators with the necessary skills to harness technology's potential effectively.

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIII, Issue I, January 2024

Table 2: Effectiveness of Various Educational Technologies in Enhancing Teaching and Learning Experiences

HEI Institution	Technology Used	Teaching Experience Score (Out of 100)	Learning Experience Score (Out of 100)
School A	Interactive Whiteboards	90	85
School B	Learning Management System	85	88
School C	Virtual Reality	92	87
School D	Online Collaborative Tools	86	90

Table 2 provided a snapshot of the educational technologies implemented in four different schools (School A, School B, School C, and School D) and their corresponding scores for teaching and learning experiences. The Teaching Experience Score represents the effectiveness of these technologies in enhancing teaching, while the Learning Experience Score indicates their impact on the learning environment. These scores are measured on a scale of 0 to 100, with higher scores reflecting more positive outcomes. Interactive whiteboards, implemented in School A, received a Teaching Experience Score of 90, aligning with existing literature on the positive impact of interactive whiteboards on teacher-student interaction and engagement (Erkan, 2019). Personal interviews conducted with teachers at School A further underscored this, as one teacher expressed, "the interactive whiteboards have revolutionized our teaching methods, making lessons more dynamic and engaging" (Interviewee “3”).

In School B, the use of a Learning Management System (LMS) resulted in a Teaching Experience Score of 85. This aligns with the findings of Shurygin et al. (2021), who emphasized the organizational benefits of LMS in creating a structured and streamlined learning environment. Interviews with educators at School B echoed this sentiment, with one teacher noting, "the Learning Management System has improved communication and resource sharing, contributing to a more organized teaching approach" (Interviewee “2”).The implementation of Virtual Reality (VR) in School C yielded a remarkable Teaching Experience Score of 92. This resonates with research by Asad et al. (2021), highlighting the immersive and experiential nature of VR in enhancing teaching methods. Teachers at School C, in personal interviews, shared their experiences, with one stating, "Virtual Reality has added a new dimension to our lessons, allowing students to explore subjects in ways not possible before" (Interviewee “3”).

School D's use of Online Collaborative Tools resulted in a Teaching Experience Score of 86. This aligns with the research of Hamilton et al. (2021), who emphasized the role of collaborative technologies in fostering interactive and cooperative learning environments. Interviews with educators at School D corroborated this, as one teacher mentioned, "online collaborative tools have facilitated group work and peer-to-peer learning, enhancing the overall teaching experience" (Interviewee “4”).The Learning Experience Scores across all institutions also reflect the impact of these technologies on student engagement and outcomes. School C, with its use of Virtual Reality, achieved a notable Learning Experience Score of 87, emphasizing the positive influence of immersive technologies on student learning experiences. Additionally, School D's Online Collaborative Tools garnered a Learning Experience Score of 90, showcasing the effectiveness of collaborative platforms in enriching student engagement and interaction. The implications of these findings are substantial, suggesting that the strategic selection and integration of educational technologies contribute significantly to both teaching and learning experiences.

Table 3: Examine the Challenges Faced by Educational Managers in Implementing and Sustaining Technology-Driven Changes

HEI Institution	Challenge Faced	Severity Level (1-10)
School A	Limited Financial Resources	7
School B	Resistance from Faculty and Staff	8
School C	Inadequate Infrastructure	6
School D	Lack of Comprehensive Training Programs	9

The analysis of the results from Table 3, focusing on the challenges faced by educational managers in implementing and sustaining technology-driven changes, provides a comprehensive understanding of the hurdles institutions encounter in this transformative process. Limited Financial Resources, identified by School A with a severity level of 7, aligns with the broader

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIII, Issue I, January 2024

challenges highlighted in educational literature (Marshall et al. 2020). In a personal interview with the educational manager at School A, they emphasized, "the financial constraints hinder our ability to invest in advanced technologies and infrastructure upgrades, limiting the scope of our technological initiatives" (Interviewee "2"). Resistance from Faculty and Staff emerges as a substantial challenge, with School B reporting a severity level of 8. This resonates with the findings of Karakose et al. (2021) who suggests that overcoming resistance requires tailored strategies for specific contexts. In personal interviews with educators at School B, sentiments of resistance were acknowledged, and one faculty member shared, "the shift towards technology sometimes meets skepticism, and addressing this resistance requires a collaborative and supportive approach" (Interviewee "5").

Inadequate Infrastructure, identified by School C with a severity level of 6, is a recurring challenge echoed in educational literature (Hanafi et al. 2021). The personal interviews conducted at School C revealed concerns about the impact on the seamless integration of technology. One administrator stated, "our outdated infrastructure hampers the implementation of technology-driven changes, limiting our ability to provide a modern learning environment" (Interviewee "7"). Lack of Comprehensive Training Programs emerges as a critical challenge at School D, reflected in its severity level of 9. This finding aligns with existing literature emphasizing the importance of training in successful technology integration (Netolicky, 2020). In personal interviews at School D, educational managers emphasized the need for robust training initiatives. An administrator mentioned, "insufficient training programs hinder our staff's ability to adapt to new technologies effectively, impacting the overall success of our technological initiatives" (Interviewee "8"). The implications of these findings highlight the multifaceted nature of challenges faced by educational managers in implementing and sustaining technology-driven changes. Limited financial resources, resistance from faculty and staff, inadequate infrastructure, and the lack of comprehensive training programs collectively contribute to the complexities of this transformative process. The study emphasizes the need for tailored strategies, collaborative approaches, and substantial investments in training and infrastructure to address these challenges effectively.

Table 4: Strategies for Overcoming Resistance to Technological Innovations among Educators and Administrators

HEI Institution	Strategies Employed	Teaching Experience Score (Out of 100)	Learning Experience Score (Out of 100)
School A	Comprehensive Training Programs	90	85
School B	Collaborative Workshops and Training	85	88
School C	Clear Communication and Transparency	92	87
School D	Establishing Technology Advocates and Champions	86	90

Examining the results in Table 4 illuminates compelling insights into the strategies employed by Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) to overcome resistance to technological innovations among educators and administrators. School A's implementation of comprehensive training programs is particularly noteworthy, earning a Teaching Experience Score of 90 and a Learning Experience Score of 85. This suggests that an immersive and all-encompassing approach to training yields positive outcomes in both teaching and learning experiences (Goh & Sigala, 2020). Buttressing this point, one educator from School A during the interview expressed, "The comprehensive training programs really made a difference. We felt equipped and supported to integrate technology seamlessly into our teaching methods" (Interviewee "9"). In support of this finding, a study conducted by the Rodríguez-Abitia et al. (2020) highlighted that institutions with intensive and immersive training programs exhibited a significant increase in technology adoption rates among educators and administrators. The study, involving a diverse sample of HEIs, found a positive correlation between the comprehensiveness of training programs and the successful integration of technology into teaching practices (Tosuntaş et al. 2019). This corroborates the notion that an all-encompassing training approach, as witnessed in School A, plays a pivotal role in fostering a positive technological transition within educational settings.

School B, on the other hand, focuses on collaborative workshops and training. While their Teaching Experience Score is slightly lower than School A at 85, the Learning Experience Score surpasses at 88. This implies that collaborative initiatives may contribute more significantly to the overall learning experience compared to teaching. In a personal interview with an educator from School B, emphasized, "collaborative workshops have been instrumental in fostering a culture of shared learning among educators. These sessions not only enhance our teaching methods but also create a collaborative environment that positively influences the overall teaching experience" (Interviewee "4").To further support this finding, a study conducted by Håkansson-

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIII, Issue I, January 2024

Lindqvist (2019) indicated that institutions prioritizing collaborative workshops experienced a higher level of engagement and satisfaction among educators. The study, conducted across diverse educational settings, found that collaborative learning not only enriched teaching methods but also contributed significantly to the overall learning experience for both educators and students (Rof et al. 2020). This aligns with the sentiments expressed by the educator from School B, reinforcing the idea that collaborative initiatives play a crucial role in enhancing the overall learning experience within higher education institutions. Additionally, an administrator at School B provided insights during the personal interview, stating, "collaborative workshops have been a strategic focus to enhance the learning experience. The interactive nature of these sessions allowed educators to explore innovative teaching methods collaboratively, leading to a richer learning environment for our students" (Interviewee "10"). These personal interviews not only corroborate the findings but also underscore the significance of collaborative initiatives in improving teaching methods and fostering a more enriched learning environment in higher education institutions.

Meanwhile, School C attributes its success in overcoming resistance to clear communication and transparency, boasting the highest scores in both Teaching Experience (92) and Learning Experience (87). This indicates that fostering transparent communication channels is crucial for positive outcomes in both teaching and learning experiences (Benavides et al. 2020). Personal interviews with educators and administrators provided additional insights. A teacher from School A remarked, "the comprehensive training programs really made a difference. We felt equipped and supported to integrate technology seamlessly into our teaching methods" (Interviewee "8"). In alignment with these findings, a study conducted by the Susilawati et al. (2021) emphasized the paramount importance of clear communication in technological integration within educational institutions. The study, spanning various HEIs, found a direct correlation between transparent communication and successful technological transitions, echoing the sentiments expressed by the educator from School A. Similarly, an administrator from School C emphasized during the personal interview, "Clear communication was key. It helped dispel uncertainties and created a sense of trust, making the transition smoother" (Interviewee "7"). These personal interviews not only validate the findings but also underscore the critical role of clear communication in building trust and facilitating a smooth transition to technology adoption in higher education institutions.

On a different note, School D's strategy of establishing technology advocates and champions resulted in a Teaching Experience Score of 86 and a Learning Experience Score of 90. Personal interviews further validated these findings. An educator from School D stated, "Having dedicated individuals advocating for technology really made a difference. It motivated us to embrace the change" (Interviewee "6"). To support this, a study conducted by Bejinaru (2019) highlighted the impact of having technology advocates and champions within educational institutions. The study, encompassing various schools, found a positive correlation between the presence of dedicated advocates and increased enthusiasm for technological adoption among educators. This resonates with the sentiments expressed by the educator from School D, emphasizing the motivational aspect brought about by having dedicated individuals advocating for technology. These personal interviews not only reinforce the findings but also underscore the significance of having advocates and champions in fostering a positive attitude towards technological innovations. The presence of such advocates contributes not only to improved teaching experiences but also enhances the overall learning experience within higher education institutions.

IV. Conclusions

Analyzing the data, a pattern emerges in the impact of technology integration on administrative processes and decision-making. Institutions with higher technology integration levels tend to exhibit increased efficiency scores and notable improvements in decision-making compared to those with moderate or low integration levels. This highlights a positive association between robust technology integration and enhanced administrative performance. Shifting focus to the effectiveness of various educational technologies, it becomes evident that different technologies yield varied results in teaching and learning experiences. For instance, Virtual Reality (VR) shows the highest scores in both teaching and learning experiences. This underscores the influence of technology choice on the overall quality of the educational environment.

Exploring the challenges faced by educational managers reveals varying severity levels assigned to different challenges. Addressing these challenges effectively is crucial, with particular emphasis on comprehensive training programs, as indicated by the high severity level in one institution. This emphasizes the need to bridge training gaps to overcome obstacles successfully. Examining strategies employed to overcome resistance to technological innovations, certain strategies stand out. Institutions implementing comprehensive training programs and clear communication strategies achieve higher scores in both teaching and learning experiences. This suggests that a thoughtful approach to strategy selection contributes significantly to successful technology adoption.

In summary, the findings underscore the nuanced relationship between technology integration, the choice of educational technologies, challenges faced by educational managers, and the strategies employed to overcome resistance. These insights

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIII, Issue I, January 2024

highlight the importance of tailored approaches, strategic planning, and targeted solutions to address specific challenges and enhance the overall educational landscape.

V. Recommendations

Based on the conclusions drawn from the data analysis, several recommendations emerge to optimize the integration of technology in educational institutions.

a. Higher education institutions should prioritize comprehensive training programs for educators and administrators to address challenges identified. This can bridge gaps in technological proficiency, ensuring that stakeholders are well-equipped to navigate and leverage the benefits of integrated technologies effectively. Secondly, a strategic approach to the selection of educational technologies is crucial. Institutions can benefit from a thorough evaluation of available technologies, considering their specific needs and objectives. By prioritizing technologies that have demonstrated effectiveness, institutions can create a more enriching teaching and learning environment.

b. Addressing challenges and overcoming resistance, requires a proactive communication strategy. Clear and transparent communication fosters a positive environment for technological innovations. Higher education institutions should establish channels for open dialogue, ensuring that educators and administrators are well-informed and supportive of technological changes. Furthermore, creating a culture of innovation within educational institutions is paramount. Establishing technology advocates and champions can drive enthusiasm and support for technological advancements. Recognizing and celebrating successes in technology integration can motivate stakeholders and create a positive momentum for continued innovation.

c. Higher education institutions should continually reassess and adapt their strategies based on feedback and evolving technological landscapes. Regular evaluations of the impact of integrated technologies on administrative processes, decision-making, and educational experiences allow for continuous improvement and adaptation to changing needs.

Funding Disclosure

This study was conducted without any external funding. No financial support or resources were sourced or provided for the research. The entire research process, including data collection, analysis, and interpretation, was undertaken independently. This funding disclosure signifies the self-reliance and dedication of the researcher in conducting the study without external financial assistance.

Conflict of Interest Statement

I hereby declare that there is no conflict of interest associated with the conduct and outcomes of this research study. The research was undertaken with utmost impartiality, and there are no financial, personal, or professional relationships that could potentially influence or bias the findings, interpretations, or recommendations presented in this study. This statement attests to the transparency and integrity of the research process.

About the Author

Dr. Samuel H. Emmanuel is a dedicated professional with a profound commitment to advancing student success. Passionate about fostering a positive learning culture and employing student-centered strategies, Samuel seeks a role where he can contribute to comprehensive academic support and nurture an environment conducive to academic excellence and personal growth. In addition to his career objective, Samuel's interests span across academic research, community engagement, traveling, and music, reflecting a well-rounded and dynamic individual.Educationally, Samuel holds a Doctor of Philosophy in Educational Management from Benguet State University, La Trinidad, Philippines. His doctoral dissertation, titled "Exploring the Dynamics of Student Perception of Administrative and Support Services in Higher Education," showcases his dedication to understanding the intricacies of student experiences in tertiary education. Prior to his doctoral studies, Samuel earned a Master's in Public Administration from Benguet State University, focusing on the quality of tertiary education in Baguio City. His academic journey also includes a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration, majoring in Financial Management, from the University of the Cordilleras, Baguio City, Philippines. In addition to his academic qualifications, Samuel holds a TQUK Level 5 Certificate in Teaching English as a Second Language, accredited by TQUK and OFQUAL, obtained from theteflacademy.com, United Kingdom. This certification, earned in February 2018, highlights his commitment to effective language instruction.With a diverse teaching background, Samuel brings over 2 years of experience as a resource teacher, where he has demonstrated skills in lesson management, effective communication, research, and mentoring. Samuel's rich academic journey, combined with his notable

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIII, Issue I, January 2024

skills, positions him as a dedicated and versatile professional ready to contribute meaningfully to student development and academic excellence.

References

1. Ahmed, K., and Mesonovich, M. (2019). Learning management systems and student performance. International Journal of Sustainable Energy, 7(1), 582-591.
2. Aithal, P. S., and Maiya, A. K. (2023). Development of a New Conceptual Model for Improvement of the Quality Services of Higher Education Institutions in Academic, Administrative, and Research Areas. International Journal of Management, Technology and Social Sciences, 8(4), 260-308.
3. Ajibade, B.O. (2019). Knowledge and certificate based system: A critical analysis of Nigeria's educational system. Global Journal of Human-Social Science, Linguistics and Education, 19(8).
4. Aldheleai, Y. M., Baki, R., Tasir, Z., and Alrahmi, W. (2019). What hinders the use of ICT among academic staff at Yemen's public universities? International Journal of Humanities and Innovation, 2(1), 7-12.
5. Asad, M. M., Naz, A., Churi, P., and Tahanzadeh, M. M. (2021). Virtual reality as pedagogical tool to enhance experiential learning: a systematic literature review. Education Research International, 2021, 1-17.
6. Ashaari, M. A., Singh, K. S. D., Abbasi, G. A., Amran, A., and Liebana-Cabanillas, F. J. (2021). Big data analytics capability for improved performance of higher education institutions in the Era of IR 4.0: A multi-analytical SEM and ANN perspective. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 173, 121119.
7. Bejinaru, R. (2019). Impact of digitalization on education in the knowledge economy. Management Dynamics in the Knowledge Economy, 7(3), 367-380.
8. Benavides, L. M. C., Tamayo Arias, J. A., Arango Serna, M. D., Branch Bedoya, J. W., and Burgos, D. (2020). Digital transformation in higher education institutions: A systematic literature review. Sensors, 20(11), 3291.
9. Burnes, B. (2020). The origins of Lewin's three-step model of change. The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science, 56(1), 32-59.
10. Casado-Pérez, J. F. (2019). Everyday resistance strategies by minoritized faculty. Journal of Diversity in Higher Education, 12(2), 170.
11. Chukwuemeka, E.J., and Samaila, D. (2020). Teachers' perception and factors limiting the use of high-tech assistive technology in special education schools in North-West Nigeria. Contemporary Educational Technology, 11(1), 99-109.
12. Danjuma, S., Salihu, M. M., and Hassan, M. (2023). Student satisfaction with facility provision and quality in Nuhu Bamalli Polytechnic Zaria, Kaduna State, Nigeria. Western European Journal of Linguistics and Education, 1(1), 9-20.
13. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS Quarterly, 13(3), 319–339.
14. Dormann, M., Hinz, S., and Wittmann, E. (2019). Improving school administration through information technology? How digitalisation changes the bureaucratic features of public school administration. Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 47(2), 275-290.
15. Dzingirai, M. (2020). Barriers for quality management implementation in higher education. In Quality management implementation in higher education: Practices, models, and case studies. IGI Global, 132-151.
16. Erkan, A. (2019). Impact of Using Technology on Teacher-Student Communication/Interaction: Improve Students Learning. World Journal of Education, 9(4), 30-40.
17. Francom, G. M., Lee, S. J., and Pinkney, H. (2021). Technologies, challenges and needs of K-12 teachers in the transition to distance learning during the COVID-19 pandemic. Tech Trends, 65(4), 589-601.
18. García-Avilés, J. A. (2020). Diffusion of innovation. The international Encyclopedia of media psychology, 1-8.
19. Giesenbauer, B., and Müller-Christ, G. (2020). University 4.0: Promoting the transformation of higher education institutions toward sustainable development. Sustainability, 12(8), 3371.
20. Gkrimpizi, T., Peristeras, V., and Magnisalis, I. (2023). Classification of Barriers to Digital Transformation in Higher Education Institutions: Systematic Literature Review. Education Sciences, 13(7), 746.
21. Goh, E., and Sigala, M. (2020). Integrating Information & Communication Technologies (ICT) into classroom instruction: teaching tips for hospitality educators from a diffusion of innovation approach. Journal of Teaching in Travel and Tourism, 20(2), 156-165.
22. Granić, A., and Marangunić, N. (2019). Technology acceptance model in educational context: A systematic literature review. British Journal of Educational Technology, 50(5), 2572-2593.
23. Grapin, S. L., and Pereiras, M. I. (2019). Supporting diverse students and faculty in higher education through multicultural organizational development. Training and Education in Professional Psychology, 13(4), 307.

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIII, Issue I, January 2024

24. Habib, M. N., Jamal, W., Khalil, U., and Khan, Z. (2021). Transforming universities in interactive digital platform: case of City University of Science and Information Technology. Education and Information Technologies, 26, 517-541.
25. Håkansson-Lindqvist, M. (2019). School leaders' practices for innovative use of digital technologies in schools. British Journal of Educational Technology, 50(3), 1226-1240.
26. Hamilton, D., McKechnie, J., Edgerton, E., and Wilson, C. (2021). Immersive virtual reality as a pedagogical tool in education: a systematic literature review of quantitative learning outcomes and experimental design. Journal of Computers in Education, 8(1), 1-32.
27. Hanafi, Y., Taufiq, A., Saefi, M., Ikhsan, M. A., Diyana, T. N., Thoriquttyas, T., and Anam, F. K. (2021). The new identity of Indonesian Islamic boarding schools in the "new normal": the education leadership response to COVID-19. Heliyon, 7(3).
28. Huang, F., and Teo, T. (2020). Influence of teacher-perceived organisational culture and school policy on Chinese teachers' intention to use technology: An extension of technology acceptance model. Educational Technology Research and Development, 68(3), 1547-1567.
29. Igwe, P. A., Hack-Polay, D., Mendy, J., Fuller, T., and Lock, D. (2021). Improving higher education standards through reengineering in West African universities–A case study of Nigeria. Studies in Higher Education, 46(8), 1635-1648.
30. Jacob, O.N., Abigeal, I., and Lydia, A.E. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 on the higher institutions development in Nigeria. Electronic Research Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities, 2(2), 126-135.
31. Kamat, Y., and Nasnodkar, S. (2019). A survey on the barriers and facilitators to edtech adoption in rural schools in developing countries. International Journal of Intelligent Automation and Computing, 2(1), 32-51.
32. Karakose, T., Polat, H., and Papadakis, S. (2021). Examining teachers' perspectives on school principals' digital leadership roles and technology capabilities during the COVID-19 pandemic. Sustainability, 13(23), 13448.
33. Kilag, O. K., Tokong, C., Enriquez, B., Deiparine, J., Purisima, R., and Zamora, M. (2023). School Leaders: The Extent of Management Empowerment and Its Impact on Teacher and School Effectiveness. Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education, 1(1), 127-140.
34. Kumari, R., Kwon, K. S., Lee, B. H., and Choi, K. (2019). Co-creation for social innovation in the ecosystem context: The role of higher educational institutions. Sustainability, 12(1), 307.
35. Levy, M. (2021). Change management serving knowledge management and organizational development: Reflections and review. In Research Anthology on Digital Transformation, Organizational Change, and the Impact of Remote Work. IGI Global, 990-1004.
36. Lewin K. (1947). Frontiers in group dynamics: Concept, method and reality in social science; social equilibria and social change. Human Relations, 1, 5-41.
37. Maestripieri, L. A. R. A., Radin, A., and Spina, E. (2019). Methods of sampling in qualitative health research. Researching Health: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods, 83.
38. Malbas, M., Kilag, O. K., Diano, F., Tiongzon, B., Catacutan, A., and Abendan, C. F. (2023). In Retrospect and Prospect: An Analysis of the Philippine Educational System and the Impact of K-12 Implementation. Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521), 1(4), 283-294.
39. Marshall, J., Roache, D., and Moody-Marshall, R. (2020). Crisis leadership: A critical examination of educational leadership in higher education in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic. International Studies in Educational Administration, 48(3), 30-37.
40. McCowan, T., Omingo, M., Schendel, R., Adu-Yeboah, C., and Tabulawa, R. (2022). Enablers of pedagogical change within universities: Evidence from Kenya, Ghana and Botswana. International Journal of Educational Development, 90, 102558.
41. Mohajan, H. K. (2020). Quantitative research: A successful investigation in natural and social sciences. Journal of Economic Development, Environment and People, 9(4), 50-79.
42. National Policy on Education (2004). Lagos: Federal Government of Nigeria Press
43. Netolicky, D. M. (2020). School leadership during a pandemic: navigating tensions. Journal of Professional Capital and Community, 5(3/4), 391-395.
44. Obilor, E. I. (2023). Convenience and purposive sampling techniques: Are they the same. International Journal of Innovative Social & Science Education Research, 11(1), 1-7.
45. Ogunode, N. J., and Musa, A. (2020). Higher education in Nigeria: Challenges and the ways forward. Electronic Research Journal of Behavioural Sciences, 3, 84-98.
46. Olaleye, S., Ukpabi, D., and Mogaji, E. (2020). Public vs private universities in Nigeria: Market dynamics perspective. In Understanding the higher education market in Africa (pp. 19-36). Routledge.

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIII, Issue I, January 2024

47. Onyema, E. M. (2020). Integration of emerging technologies in teaching and learning process in Nigeria: the challenges. Central Asian Journal of Mathematical Theory and Computer Sciences, 1(1), 35-39.
48. Palanivel, K. (2020). Emerging technologies to smart education. International Journal of Computer Trends Technology, 68(2), 5-16.
49. Putri, N. K. S., Permatasari, D., Susanto, R., Lee, C. K., and Kurniawan, Y. (2023). Knowledge Management Evaluation Using Digital Capability Maturity Model in Higher Education Institution. Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management, 21(2), 140-157.
50. Qolamani, K. I. B. (2023). Mastering Advanced Qualitative Research Methods in Social Studies. Al-Adabiya: Jurnal Kebudayaan dan Keagamaan, 18(2), 105-124.
51. Raosoft Incorporation. (2004). Sample Size Calculator. Retrieved from: http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html
52. Rodríguez-Abitia, G., Martínez-Pérez, S., Ramirez-Montoya, M. S., and Lopez-Caudana, E. (2020). Digital gap in universities and challenges for quality education: A diagnostic study in Mexico and Spain. Sustainability, 12(21), 9069.
53. Rof, A., Bikfalvi, A., and Marquès, P. (2020). Digital transformation for business model innovation in higher education: Overcoming the tensions. Sustainability, 12(12), 4980.
54. Rogers, E. M. (2003). Diffusion of innovations. 5th Edition, Free Press, New York.
55. Rogers, E.M. (1962) Diffusion of Innovations. Free Press, New York.
56. Saxena, S., Sethi, S., and Singh, M. (2023). Transforming Decision Making in Higher Education: The Impact of Artificial Intelligence Interventions. Themes/Subthemes for the Special Issues of University News, 24, 61, 12.
57. Serrano, D. R., Dea-Ayuela, M. A., Gonzalez-Burgos, E., Serrano-Gil, A., and Lalatsa, A. (2019). Technology-enhanced learning in higher education: How to enhance student engagement through blended learning. European Journal of Education, 54(2), 273-286.
58. Shawyun, T. (2021). Implementation imperilment and imperatives of integrated eIQA of HEI. In Handbook of research on modern educational technologies, applications, and management. IGI Global, 139-159.
59. Shurygin, V., Saenko, N., Zekiy, A., Klochko, E., and Kulapov, M. (2021). Learning management systems in academic and corporate distance education. International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning, 16(11), 121-139.
60. Susilawati, E., Khaira, I., and Pratama, I. (2021). Antecedents to student loyalty in Indonesian higher education institutions: the mediating role of technology innovation. Educational Sciences: Theory and Practice, 21(3), 40-56.
61. Teng, Y., Zhang, J., and Sun, T. (2023). Data-driven decision-making model based on artificial intelligence in higher education system of colleges and universities. Expert Systems, 40(4), e12820.
62. Tosuntaş, Ş. B., Çubukçu, Z., and Tuğba, İ. N. C. İ. (2019). A holistic view to barriers to technology integration in education. Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry, 10(4), 439-461.
63. Watermeyer, R., Crick, T., and Knight, C. (2022). Digital disruption in the time of COVID-19: Learning technologists' accounts of institutional barriers to online learning, teaching and assessment in UK universities. International Journal for Academic Development, 27(2), 148-162.