Cell Lysis Cryptic Growth Method Using Ozonation for Excess Sludge Minimization: A Review

Aparna A. Navalakha

M.E. Environmental Management, L.D. College of Engineering Ahmedabad-15, India

Abstract: In any wastewater treatment plant large amount of sludge generation is major issue. The final disposal of the sludge cost almost 60% of total operational cost of treatment plant. In addition to this, it is necessary to meet today's stringent pollution control norms, thus finding methods to minimize excess of sludge is of growing interest. The paper mainly focuses on sludge reduction based on cell lysis cryptic growth method using ozonation for both in wastewater line and sludge line.

Key Words: Lysis cryptic growth, Ozonation, Mechanism of sludge reduction, Dewatering, Setteability, Filterability

I. INTRODUCTION

n wastewater treatment plant(WWTP) sludge can be produced in two ways; primary which is produced by settleable solids removed from influent wastewater in primary settling ;and secondary which is produced by biological process such as activated sludge process. But among all sludge secondary sludge or excess sludge is of great concern because, during the biological treatment of industrial effluent wastewater, in addition to cell biomass, a large amount of non-biodegradable (inert) solids in particulate form, incoming with the influent raw wastewater, contributes significantly to sludge production. Besides this secondary sludge is difficult to dewater because of high amount of bound water content. The final disposal of this sludge cost 60% of total operational cost of treatment plant. So, considering environmental burden and high expense, finding methods which minimize sludge production is of growing interest. There are many techniques available for reduction of sludge for example lysis cryptic method, metabolic uncoupler, growth endogeneous metabolism, microbial predation, hydrothermal oxidation but the paper mainly focuses on reviewing lysis cryptic growth method. The term lysis means breakdown of cell wall or cellular membrane due to some external force and the term cryptic growth means survival of remaining cells on contents lysed from dead cell. When microbial cell undergo lysis or death, the cell contents are released into external environment and provide autochthonous substrate that contributes to the organic loading. Now the few remaining cell will grow either using this autochthonous substrate or using substrate which is already present in wastewater therefore this type of growth is termed as cryptic growth. However once lysed, it becomes easy for the living cells to biodegrade the lysed cells, therefore lysis is the rate-limiting step of lysis-cryptic growth, and an

increase of the lysis efficiency can therefore lead to an overall reduction of sludge production.

The cell lysis cryptic growth technique can be used in both wastewater handling line and sludge handling line. The figure 2 shows the possible location of lysis cryptic growth method in WWTP.

The objective of this paper is to critically review current and emerging approaches of sludge reduction based on lysis cryptic growth method using ozonation.



Figure 1 : schematics of cell lysis cryptic growth





II. SLUDGE REDUCTION BY LYSIS CRYPTIC GROWTH METHOD USING OZONATION

Applying ozone on activated sludge facilitate sludge solubilisation followed by "cryptic growth". During ozonation of sludge, biomass degradation could be described as two main mechanisms:

- 1. **Disintegration** due to cell destruction of suspended solids in waste sludge.(converted into soluble intermediate organic products through cell lysis and hydrolysis)
- 2. Mineralization due to subsequent oxidation of soluble organic matter to carbon dioxide. Sludge reduction could be accomplished by these two products, disintegrated solids and mineralized material. (Directly oxidized into CO_2 and H_2O)
- 3. Remaining sludge
- So here "one-third" of biomass will be mineralized, thereby biomass is decreased to "two thirds".

2.1 OZONATION IN WASTEWATER LINE:

2.1.1.LAB SCALE OZONATION

- ⇒ Yasui et al. (1996) studied ozone treatment of excess sludge followed by recirculation of the treated sludge into the bioreactor. They have carried out continuous flow experiment in which sludge to be ozonated was drawn continuously from aeration tank and ozonated by batch treatment. Ten runs of recirculation treatment were carried out for a period of 6 weeks.
- \Rightarrow J. S. Albuquerque studied ozonation in both continuous and discontinuous bench scale model. The experiments were conducted in a glass reactor of 2 L with sludge from the recycling line. The gas (pure O_2 and O_3) flow rate was kept constant but the ozone concentration in the gas stream and the reaction time varied at three levels (12, 30 and 50 mg/L) and (5, 10 and 15 min), respectively. Continuous experiments were conducted in a benchscale activated sludge unit composed of an aerated reactor (5 L) and a secondary clarifier (1 L). Several operation runs were performed varying the percentage of ozonated sludge in the recycling stream (10 and 20% on a volumetric basis) and the ratio between recycle and influent flow rates (1/3 and 2/3).
- ⇒ Two lab scale activated sludge system was operated in parallel by <u>A. Huysmans</u>, in which one was used as a control system and other as treatment system where part of ozonated sludge was ozonated. Each lab scale plant was operated as a sequencing batch reactor {SBR}. The reactor contained an aeration device and a mechanical stirrer. Experiment consisted following steps: (1)filling;30 min,

(2)anoxic phase ; 4h,(3)aerobic phase; 4h,(4) anoxic phase; 1h, (5)aerobic phase ;4h,(6) settling: 1h and (7) withdrawing effluent ;30 min.

2.1.2. PILOT SCALE OZONATION:

⇒ Pilot scale ozonation model was developed by <u>K.-H.</u> <u>Ahn</u> at Gwangju wastewater treatment plant in Gyeonggi-do province, Korea. The system was designed for a waste activated sludge capacity of around 0.2 m³/d. The system was one of the sequencing batch activated sludge processes, with continuous feeding. The operating cycle consisted of a 30 min anoxic settling phase, a 30 min anaerobic decanting phase, and a 60 min aerobic phase with continuous feeding of actual domestic wastewater into the bottom of the bioreactor. The system was designed with a hydraulic retention time (HRT) of 16.5 hours. Ozone gas flow-rate of 1 m³/hr at an ozone concentration of 150 g O₃/m³ was supplied in the reactor.

2.1.3. FULL SCALE OZONATION:

⇒ <u>D. Gardoni</u> developed the system operating waste sludge minimization by means of a full-scale, plugflow, short HRT ozone contactor. A 20% fraction of the recycling stream was ozonated in a plug-flow contactor with a very low contact time (few seconds) with an ozone solubilization efficiency of more than 90%.

2.2 OZONATION IN SLUDGE HANDLING LINE:

2.2.1 LAB SCALE OZONATION:

- ⇒ Two, 2-L bench-scale, batch digesters were operated in parallel for 30 days by Richard O. Mines, Jr. One was sparged with air and the other ozone. Each digester contained 1.7-L of WAS (Waste Activated Sludge). The aerobic digester was supplied with air at 2.7 liters per minute (Lpm) or 810 mg O₂ /min. The carrier gas flow rate to the ozonated digester was maintained at a constant of 6.5 Lpm or 0.88 mg O₃/min. In Phase II,. The air flow rate to the aerobic digester was increased from 2.7 to 4.0 Lpm or 1,200 mg O₂ /min. The ozone feed rate during Phase II was reduced from 6.5 to 3.25 Lpm or 0.44 mg O₃/min.
- ⇒ Richard O. Mines, Jr. and Laura W. Lackey conducted bench-scale ozonation study on waste activated sludge (WAS) in a 10-L, clear PVC, semibatch, bubble column reactor. Two separate runs were performed on 5-L samples of WAS obtained from the Rocky Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) in Macon, Georgia. Ozone was sparged through a porous diffuser at an application rate of 0.0525 mg O3 min−1 at contact times of 9 days and 12 days, respectively, during Runs #1 and #2.

- ⇒ Gholamreza Moussavi developed the system consist annular glass tube with the total volume of 2 L that in each run 1.5 L sludge was poured into it and ozonated. The experiment carried out at different batch runs consisted of 3 several ozone doses between 0.125 to 2 g O₃/ g TS.Ozone-laden gas flow rate was fixed at 1 L/min in which ozone inlet concentration was about 0.45 g/h.
- ⇒ S. Akhlaque and S. Farooq performed ozonation in a glass batch reactor with a capacity of 20L. It was 14 in. (356 mm) in diameter and 20 in. (508.mm) long. Ozone gas was supplied at the bottom of the reactor through a medium porosity, fritted glass diffuser. Unused gas was exhausted into the atmosphere through a hood from the top of the reactor. Sludge samples were ozonated continuously in the reactor for 30, 60 and 120 minutes periods.

2.2.2 PILOT SCALE OZONATION:

A pilot-scale ozone reactor for sludge treatment with a capacity of 1 m3 has been established at Gwangju wastewater treatment plant in Gyeonggi province, Korea by K. Y. Park. The SS concentration of waste activated sludge was at around 8 g/L to 12 g/L with 73% of VSS. The waste activated sludge was ozonized in a cylindrical shape column reactor with ozone flowrate of 1 m³ /hr and ozone concentration of 150 g O₃/m³.

2.3 SLUDGE AFTER OZONATION:

2.3.1 MASS AND VOLUME REDUCTION:

Sludge volume and mass reduction is mainly depend on the reduction of all types of solid reduction in sludge including Total Solids (TS), Total suspended solids(TSS), Volatile suspended solids (VSS), Dissolved Solids (DS). At different ozone dose percent destruction of solids was observed by some researchers and some of their results are shown below:

- ⇒ <u>K.-H. Ahn</u> concluded that at an ozone dose of 0.2 g O_3/g DS, the mineralization, carbon source and biosolids residual were 5%, 50% and 45%, respectively, and the composition at 0.5 g O3/g DS changed to 20%, 46% and 34%, respectively. In other words, a significant mass reduction was achieved as the ozone dose was increased while the carbon source generation remained the same.
- ➡ Yasui reported that nearly 35% of treated biomass was mineralized biologically and reduction efficiency was proportional to mass to be treated.
- ⇒ J. S. Albuquerque concluded that in discontinuous experiments a concentration of 30mgO3/L, applied for 10 or 15 min, was sufficient to promote partial degradation of the biological sludge and in

continuous experiment sludge production reduction was comprised between 14 and 39%.

- $\Rightarrow \underline{\text{D. Gardoni}} \text{ observed sludge production yield } (Y_{obs}) \\ \text{decreased from an average value of } 0.435 \text{ during the} \\ \text{control period to } 0.36 \text{ kgCOD}_{\text{biomass}} (\text{kgCOD}_{\text{substrate}})^{-1} \\ \text{during the ozonation period, corresponding to a } 17\% \\ \text{net reduction.} \end{cases}$
- A Huysmans used ozone dosing rate of 0.05g O₃/g SS_{removed}. At this dose a mean SS decrease of 49% of the excess sludge was obtained. At average ozone dose of 0.019 g O₃/g SS_{ozonated} 50% sludge decrease was obtained compared to control.
- ⇒ <u>G. Moussavi</u> observed that mass reduction of suspended solids in sludge increased with the increasing the rate of ozonation in where the attained destruction efficiency of TSS for ozone doses of 0.125, 0 3 .25, 0.5, 1 and 2 gO₃/g TS was 15.4%, 34.3%, 56.5%, 70.1% and 80.7 %, respectively. The greater the ozone dose, the higher reduction in suspended solids was obtained.
- According to <u>Richard O. Mines, Jr.</u> ozonation was more effective at reducing TS than aerobic digestion; 50 and 56% TS removals were observed compared to 23 and 35% for aerobic digestion and ozonation was also more effective at reducing VS than aerobic digestion; 57 and 74% VS removals were observed compared to 40 and 42% for aerobic digestion.
- ➡ Total solids removals of 50 and 95% Volatile suspended solids removals of 45 and 99% was observed by <u>Richard O. Mines, Jr. and Laura W.</u><u>Lackey</u> at an application rate of 0.0525 mg O₃/ min and contact times of 9 days and 12 days respectively.
- According to <u>K.Y. Park</u> the degree of degradation of suspended solids based on residual solids, that contribute to final sludge mass reduction, at ozone dose of 0.1 g O₃/gDS, 0.2 g O₃/gDS, and 0.5 g O₃/gDS was found to be 45%, 55%, and 65%, respectively.

2.3.2 SETTLING PROPERTIES OF OZONATED SLUDGE:

Settleability of sludge is a key parameter in operation of sludge handling facilities. The settleability can be estimated by measuring SV30 (% of sludge volume after 30 minutes settling).Settling properties observation by few researchers is given below:

 \Rightarrow <u>K.Y. Park</u> observed that initial settling velocity, increased rapidly to an ozone dose of 0.5 gO₃/gDS and then slowly increased at further ozone dose. SV30 was extremely improved by ozonation up to dose of 0.2 gO_3/gDS and then gradient of settling curve was reduced.

- ⇒ <u>G. Moussavi</u> concluded that ozonation drastically improved the sedimentation properties of the sludge particularly in doses lower than 1gO₃/g TS in where settlable solids of the sludge decreased from 950 mL/L (before ozonation) to 234 mL/L. When the dose of ozone was increased to 2 gO₃/g TS the quantity of settlable solids reduced to 110 gO₃/ g TS.
- ⇒ Sludge settleability was greatly improved by ozonation above 0.2 g O3/g DS. (K.-H. Ahn)
- ⇒ The ozonated sludge settled more rapidly than did the aerated sludge and resulted in a more compact layer of sludge at the bottom of the beaker. (Richard O. Mines, Jr).
- ⇒ <u>S. Akhlaque and S. Farooq</u> observed that improvement in settling rate of the sludge for 0, 30, 60 and 120 minutes ozonated samples upto 30 hours, respectively.
- ⇒ During Run #1, the SVI increased slightly from day 1 to day 2 and then decreased before increasing from day 7 to day 9. During Run #2, SVI dropped significantly from 278 to around 43 mL /g before increasing again as ozone contact time was increased. (Richard O. Mines, Jr. And Laura W. Lackey)

2.3.3 DEWATERING PROPERTIES OF OZONATED SLUDGE:

Sludge dewaterability was measured by various researchers using different methods for dewatering and their observation is concluded in below points:

- ➡ <u>K.Y. Park</u> used a pressure filter operated at a pressure of 300kPa for dewatering and observed that compared to raw waste activated sludge the cake solid concentration was reached to about 15- 20 % due to ozonation.
- ⇒ Gravity draining of sludge in sand column improve characteristics in 30 min ozonated sample for return activated sludge(S. Akhlaque and S. Farooq)
- ⇒ The decrease of bound water after ozone treatment indicates that the release of the interstitial water trapped inside cells or flocs is responsible for observed decrease of water content of dewatered cake.(Ki Young Park)
- ⇒ The dewaterability continuously improved in proportion to ozone dose, i.e. the C_k (cake moisture content) value continuously decreased up to 55 %.(<u>K.-H. Ahn</u>)

2.3.4 FILTERABILITY OF OZONATED SLUDGE:

Sludge filtration property can be measured by Surface Resistance to Filtration (SRF) test.

- ⇒ The filtration of sludge is related to the ozone dose. The specific resistance to filtration (SRF) value rapidly increased at an ozone dose up to 0.2 gO3/g TS and then decreased dramatically at a dose of 0.2 gO3/g TS (Ki Young Park ,2002).
- ⇒ SRF value rapidly increased with ozone doses up to 0.2 g O3/g DS, and then decreased dramatically at an ozone dose of 0.5 g O3/g DS and above. This result was consistent with decrease in the micro-particle fraction at a relatively high ozone dose. (K.-H. Ahn)
- ⇒ For ozonized sludge, the SRF increased sharply from 2×10¹⁴m/kg to 38×10¹⁴m/kg at a dose of 0.3 gO₃/gSS, and then the SRF decrased significantly to 6×10¹⁴m/kg at a dose of 0.6 gO₃/gSS.(<u>Ki Young Park,2001</u>)

III. CONCLUSION

This review showed that ozonation is far most advantageous method among all lysis cryptic growth method because it is compact, clean and powerful technique. Ozonation of RAS can result in a considerable reduction of excess sludge (up to 100%), removal. In addition to sludge reduction ozonation also cause positive effect on settleability, dewaterability and filterability. It can be adopted successfully in bench scale as well as pilot scale plant. Ozonation can reduce both mass and volume of sludge. Ozonation drastically improved the sedimentation properties of the sludge which results in improved settling. After ozonation, release of the interstitial water trapped inside cells or flocs is responsible for decrease of water content and increase of solid content of dewatered cake. Ozonation will increase filterability upto certain dose but after that it will cause negative effect on filterability. So overall lysis cryptic growth with ozonation seems to be promising technology for sludge reduction but further research must be focused on other aspects like effluent quality after treatment, microbial activity during and after ozonation and economical viewpoint.

ACKNOWLEGDEMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge the support and guidance received from **Prof. G. H. Ban** Head of Department, Environmental Engineering, L.D. College of Engineering, Ahmedabad - 15

REFERENCES

 Ahn, K. H., Park, K. Y., Maeng, S. K., Hwang, J. H., Lee, J. W., Song, K. G., & Choi, S. (2002). Ozonation of wastewater sludge for reduction and recycling. Water Science and Technology, 46(10), 71–77.

- [2]. Akhlaque, S., & Farooq, S. (1982). Effects of ozonation on dewatering, drying and settling characteristics of biological sludges. Environmental Technology Letters, 3(1–11), 9–18. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593338209384093
- [3]. Albuquerque, J. S., Domingos, J. C., Sant'Anna, G. L., & Dezotti, M. (2008). Application of ozonation to reduce biological sludge production in an industrial wastewater treatment plant. Water Science and Technology, 58(10), 1971–1976. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2008.554
- [4]. Chacana, J., Alizadeh, S., Labelle, M. A., Laporte, A., Hawari, J., Barbeau, B., & Comeau, Y. (2017). Effect of ozonation on anaerobic digestion sludge activity and viability. Chemosphere, 176, 405–411. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.02.108
- [5]. Chu, L., Yan, S., Xing, X. H., Sun, X., & Jurcik, B. (2009). Progress and perspectives of sludge ozonation as a powerful pretreatment method for minimization of excess sludge production. Water Research, 43(7), 1811–1822. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2009.02.012
- [6]. Foladori, P., Andreottola, G., & Ziglio, G. (2010). Sludge Reduction Thecnologies in Waste Water Treatment Plants.
- [7]. Gardoni, D., Ficara, E., Fornarelli, R., Parolini, M., & Canziani, R. (2011). Long-term effects of the ozonation of the sludge recycling stream on excess sludge reduction and biomass activity at fullscale. Water Science and Technology, 63(9), 2032–2038. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2011.456
- [8]. Guo, W. Q., Yang, S. S., Xiang, W. S., Wang, X. J., & Ren, N. Q. (2013). Minimization of excess sludge production by in-situ activated sludge treatment processes - A comprehensive review. Biotechnology Advances, 31(8), 1386–1396. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2013.06.003
- [9]. Huysmans, A., Weemaes, M., Fonseca, P. A., & Verstraete, W. (2001). Short communication ozonation of activated sludge in the recycle stream. Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology, 76(3), 321–324. https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.379
- [10]. Liu, Y. (2003). Chemically reduced excess sludge production in the activated sludge process. Chemosphere, 50(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(02)00551-9

- [11]. Management, S. (n.d.). No Title.
- [12]. Mines, R. O., & Lackey, L. W. (2009). Bench-scale ozonation study of waste activated sludge. Journal of Environmental Science and Health - Part A Toxic/Hazardous Substances and Environmental Engineering, 44(1), 38–47. https://doi.org/10.1080/10934520802515251
- [13]. Mines, R. O., Northenor, C. B., & Murchison, M. (2008). Oxidation and ozonation of waste activated sludge. Journal of Environmental Science and Health - Part A Toxic/Hazardous Substances and Environmental Engineering, 43(6), 610–618. https://doi.org/10.1080/10934520801893600
- [14]. Moussavi, G., Asilian, H., & Jamal, A. (2008). Effect of Ozonation on Reduction of Volume and Mass of Waste Activated Sludge. Journal of Applied Sciences, 4(2), 122–127.
- [15]. Park, K. Y., Ahn, K.-H. H., Maeng, S. K., Hwang, J. H., & Kwon, J. H. (2003). Feasibility of sludge ozonation for stabilization and conditioning. Ozone Sci. Eng2, 25(1), 73–80. https://doi.org/10.1080/713610652
- [16]. Romero, P., Coello, M. D., Aragón, C. A., Battistoni, P., & Eusebi, A. L. (2015). Sludge Reduction through Ozonation: Effects of Different Specific Dosages and Operative Management Aspects in a Full-Scale Study. Journal of Environmental Engineering, 141(12), 4015043. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)EE.1943-7870.0001006
- [17]. Semblante, G. U., Hai, F. I., Dionysiou, D. D., Fukushi, K., Price, W. E., & Nghiem, L. D. (2017). Holistic sludge management through ozonation: A critical review. Journal of Environmental Management, 185, 79–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.10.022
- [18]. Subha, B., & Muthukumar, M. (2012). Optimization of Ozonation Process for the Reduction of Excess Sludge Production from Activated Sludge Process of Sago Industry Wastewater Using Central Composite Design. The Scientific World Journal, 2012, 1– 8. https://doi.org/10.1100/2012/239271
- [19]. Yasui, H., & Shibata, M. (1994). An innovative approach to reduce excess sludge production in the activated sludge process. In Water Science and Technology.