

Federalism and National Integration in Nigeria: A Study of Selected States in South-South, Nigeria

Grace A. Nkpono, Akpanim N. Ekpe, PhD (Associate Professor), Dr. Ekong E. Daniel, Senior Lecturer, Dr. Sunday E. Ibang, Senior Lecturer

Department of Public Administration, Akwalbom State University, Faculty of Management Sciences, Obio Akpa Campus, OrukAnam, AkwaIbom State, Nigeria

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51583/IJLTEMAS.2024.130905>

Received: 12 August 2024; Accepted: 22 August 2024; Published: 30 September 2024

Abstract : Nigeria as a multi ethnic nationalities country is faced with tremendous task of integrating a country that is deeply depleted by mirage of problems, including among others ethno-religious and cultural crises and the constitutional imperfection. Regrettably, the manner the country practices federalism has resulted to the country's inability to foster or promote national integration, and unity in diversity. The main objective of the study was to investigate the role of federalism in promoting national integration in Nigeria. Four states comprising of Akwalbom, Bayelsa, Delta and Rivers in south south, Nigeria were selected for the study. To achieve this objective, survey correlation/research design was adopted for the study and a sample size of 384 was drawn from the population of 20,787,224, using purposive and systematic sampling techniques respectively. Data were selected using researchers developed questionnaire titled Federalism and National Integration Questionnaire (FNIQ) the instrument was administered and retrieved by the researchers with the help of two research assistants. Three research questions and three hypotheses were formulated for the study. Pearson Product Moment Correlation (PPMC) was used in testing the hypotheses at 0.5 level of significance. Three null hypotheses of the study were rejected leading to the decision to accept the alternative hypotheses. It was concluded that there was significant relationship between ethnicity, supremacy of power of having, and the Federal Constitution and the national integration in south south Nigeria. It was recommended among others, that, to foster possible breakup by the ethnic nationalities in Nigeria, government should device a federal principle through accommodation, consultation, negotiation and inclusion, instead of exclusion and denial of their rights. The sharing of political offices and opportunities should be equitable and based on the spirit of federal character principle and true federalism.

I. Introduction

1.1 Background of the Study

Nigeria is a country with an estimated 250 ethnic groups, with largely contiguous territories. These features make it a natural candidate for a federal system of government. Federalism is a political structure that allows states to unite under a central government to maintain a measure of independence and interdependence. This governance pattern which appears as a compromise formula allows for power sharing between national and state governments. It is generally regarded as the appropriate governmental principle for countries with huge ethno-cultural diversities. Successive governments in Nigeria have tried with different degrees of sincerity, commitment and effort to operate federal institutions, that can accommodate the country's ethnic, cultural, religious and linguistic diversities and nurture a sense of national unity. It was the belief of the Nigerian nationalists, that a federal system of governance was most suitable for the country as this will generate stability, which will eventually lead to a strong and united nation. For instance, while chief Awolowo contended that "the Constitution of Nigeria must be federal, any other Constitution will be unsuitable and will generate ever-recurring instability, which may eventually lead to the complete disappearance of the Nigeria composite state." Sir Ahmadu Bello contended that federalism provided the "only guarantee that the country will grow evenly all over, we can spend the money we receive, the money we raise, in the direction best suited to us." (Odukoya and Ashiru, 2007).

Unfortunately, Nigeria's efforts to achieving national integration have remained largely unrealised. Thus, the integration crisis facing Nigeria manifest in the minority question, religious fundamentalism, conflicts, ethnic politics, indigene settler dialectic, resource control, youth restiveness and militancy and the clamour for national conference or conversation of about the terms of the nation's continued unified (Onifade 2013).

As stated by the Nigerian Constitution, the indivisibility and indissolubility of the Nigerian state (Section 2 of the 1999 Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria), as stated in the preamble of the Constitution, indicates the resolution made by Nigerians to live in unity, harmony and as one indivisible and indissoluble nation. This is one of the objectives of Federal Constitution. Unity and harmony are essential to any meaningful and sustainable development. Unfortunately, Nigeria has failed in the practice of federalism, due to over-concentration of power at the centre, ethnocentrism and religious intolerance, and lack of local government autonomy, resource control and power sharing antagonism. These challenges continue to negatively affect the quest for national integration in the country. Therefore, the current study examined the role of federalism in promoting national integration in Nigeria. A study of selected States in South-South, Nigeria.

Statement of the Problem

Essentially, Nigeria is a plural society, its constituent groups are detached and separated from each other by substantial differences of ethnicity, language, cultures, and traditions. Nigeria formed differences in character, outlook, and attitudes. This divergence and the complexity of the people, in terms of values, orientations and traditions are potent factors capable to

disintegrate the country if care and caution are not contemplated to address them. Faced with these problems Nigeria accepted federalism as a means of accomplishing its much-needed goal of national unity among people of different religions, ethnicity, and culture. In essence, the federalism so adopted is expected to lessen the massively destructive inter-ethnic competition and tension, alleviate the usually assumed fear of majority and minority domination, and bring government closer to the people and give these diverse groups more opportunities, thereby integrating the country (Shehu, 2017).

The federal system of government was set up for the purpose of national unity in the plural society, like Nigeria and to preserve the distinct social identities valued by its constituent parts. Moreover, the implementation of the federal system in the Nigerian context and Nigeria's political system have continued to work with dismal unity and success. Competition basically prompted by cultural common distrust progressively deteriorates the stuff of Nigerian Authority (Majekodunmi, 2015).

Objectives of the Study

The main objective of the study is to examine the role of federalism in promoting national integration in Nigeria

The specific objectives are

1. To interrogate the relationship between ethnicity and national integration in Nigeria.
2. To examine the relationship between supremacy of power sharing and national integration in Nigeria.
3. To investigate the relationship between the Federal Constitution and National integration in Nigeria.

Research Questions

The following research questions were raised to guide the study.

1. How does ethnicity influence national integration in Nigeria?
2. To what extent has supremacy of power sharing influenced National Integration in Nigeria?
3. What is the relationship between the Federal Constitution and National Integration in Nigeria?

Research Hypotheses

H₀₁: There is no significant relationship between ethnicity and National Intervention in Nigeria.

H₁: Ethnicity is likely to Influence National Integration in Nigeria.

H₀₂: Supremacy of Power sharing does not influence National Integration in Nigeria.

H₁: Supremacy of power sharing is likely to influence National Integration in Nigeria.

H₀₂: Federal Constitution does not influence National Integration in Nigeria.

H₃: Federal Constitution tends to influence National Integration in Nigeria.

Scope of the Study

The study was delimited to the role of federalism in promoting national integration in Nigeria.

Unit Scope: The study focused on the four (4) South-South states, Nigeria which included AkwaIbom, Bayelsa, Delta and Rivers. The two local government areas selected from each of the four states were AkwaIbom - (Uyo and Eket); Bayelsa (Southern Ijaw and Yenagoa), Delta (Bomadi and Sapele), Rivers (Eleme and Obio-Akpor).

Content Scope: The content scope of this study was confined to literature on federalism and national integration in Nigeria. The explanatory variable of national integration dependent and independent variables were ethnicity supremacy for power sharing and Federal Constitution.

Geographical Scope: The geographical scope of this study was four selected states in the South-South, Nigeria, namely, AkwaIbom, Bayelsa, Delta and Rivers States.

II. Review of Relevant Literature

Conceptual Framework

Ethnicity and National Integration

Like any other terminology employed by social scientists, the concept of ethnicity is a term that does not lend itself to easy definition (Salawu and Hassan, 2011). Though, there is general agreement on a few points which are germane to understanding the phenomenon. It is agreed that though ethnicity is a derivative of the ethnic group, it only occurs in situations involving more than one ethnic group or identity. To fully understand the meaning of ethnicity, a related concept like ethnic group needs to be defined. This is particularly important because of the systemic differences in the definition of ethnicity across societies. Obiefuna, and Uzoigwe, (2012) affirm that ethnicity is a problematic phenomenon, whose character is conflictual, rather than consensual. Having enumerated the features of ethnicity on which scholars agreed, Iyanga, (2018) defined ethnic group as an informal interest group whose members are distinct from the members of other ethnic groups, within the larger society because they share kinship, religious and linguistic ties. Similarly, Thompson (2004) defines ethnic

group as a community of people who believe that they possess a common identity based on issues of origin, kinship ties, historical experiences, traditions and cultures, and perhaps share a common language. This means that ethnic groups are social formations, which are distinguished by the communal character of their boundaries (Nnoli, 1978). It is this social formation that affects the performance and functioning of the Nigerian leaders as they may be influenced in their actions and decisions due to their ethnic inclinations and parochial mentality. The inter-ethnic struggle for social and economic dominance also inevitably leads to nepotism and its attendant consequences, mostly hostility in the form of inter-ethnic violence (Ogoloma, 2012).

Supremacy for Power Sharing and National Integration

Federalism is a way of ensuring the wider distribution of public resources through revenue sharing and other forms of fiscal arrangements that guarantee an agreed share of resources to all areas of a country. Federalism may also encourage more geographically diverse economic and social development, in contrast to a unitary state, where everything-money, power, culture- gravitates to the centre. Also, the foregoing analysis inextricably indicated the empirical affinity between federalism and power-sharing to underline the peculiarities, challenges and expectations of a federation. It is therefore pertinent to dissect what power sharing represents.

Lijphart (2002) views power-sharing' as elicited polemics of ideas among scholars and practitioners. The divergent perspectives stemmed from scholarly analyses on the peculiarities of power-sharing framework practised across federations in different regions of the world. In objective to this fact, in the past two decades, power-sharing attracted tremendous attention in academic and policy discourse. This development can be attributed to the fact that in the 1990s, ethnic cleavages and the quest for self-determination emerged as one of the most serious sources of violent conflicts in the world; one which requires a constructive management.

Federal Constitution and National Integration

The federal Constitution of Nigeria came into force on 1st October 1960. It is noteworthy that the said Constitution did not secure true independence to the country as the country remains under the political influence of the British government in several ways. However, in the spirit of the newly found federalism, the Constitution provided for regional constitutions. Separate constitutions were established for the federal and for each of the regional governments in separate schedules annexed to the independence Order –in- Council. Though separate and independent of one another, the several constitutions derived from a common authority, namely, the independent Order made by the British government. Such a common source of authority which is not that of the federal government is not consistent with the federal principle.

However, by 1963 the Nigerian Parliament adopted a new Constitution. A significant feature of this new Constitution was Republican in nature as apart from this, it maintained most of the provisions of the 1960 Constitution. The 1963 Republican Constitution, however, did not last as the country suffered series of military dictatorships between 1966 and 1979. By 1979, another new Constitution was made for Nigerian federation. This Constitution which was basically federal in name, but unitary in nature marked the beginning of Nigerian federal problems. The single Constitution provided for a stronger central government and weaker component states, partly because of the experiences of the civil war, as well as its experience from the military dictatorship in which the military Head of State and Commander-in-chief of the Armed forces held enormous and unchallengeable powers. The 1979 Constitution also did not last, as the military struck again imposing dictatorship on the supposedly Federal and Publican State.

III. Theoretical Framework

Four Theories were reviewed as theoretical framework for this study, namely;

- i. Integration Theory
- ii. National and Social Integration theory
- iii. Functionalists Theory
- iv. The Power Theory

Integration Theory by Weiner (1971)

The integration theory was propounded by Myron Weiner and adopted as a framework of analysis for this study. According to Weiner (1971), integration refers to the process of having together groups characterized by its own language or other self-conscious cultural qualities. This is territorial integration which implies that the territory must be in existence under the control of one state and one government, like the Nigerian State and that the authority of the central government must be firmly established over all the country's territories. The ultimate goal of national integration as a process (irrespective of the preferred strategy) therefore, is the political unification of the constituent units into one whole nation. National integration is one among the five types of integration identified by Weiner. The others are: territorial, value, elite-mass and integrative behavior (Weiner, 1971).

According to Weiner, national integration refers specifically to the problem of creating a sense of territorial nationality, which overshadows or eliminates-subordinate parochial loyalties. This integration involves amalgamation of disparate social, economic, religious, ethnic, and geographic elements into a single nation-state, a homogenous entity, the like of Plato's Polis, the city-state. This kind of integration implies both the capacity of government to control the territory under its jurisdiction as

well as to stimulate a set of popular willingness by the people to place national interest above local or parochial concern towards the nation generally. Also, where national integration thrives, the individuals realized their rights and privileges identify fully with the state and owe allegiance to it, because they see themselves as standing in direct relation with it.

National and Social Integration theory by Karl Deutsch and Reinhard Bendix (1950)

This study also adopted national and social integration theory as propounded in 1950s, 1960s by Karl Deutsch, Charles Tilly and Reinhard Bendix. The Theory described the process to establish modern nation-state, distinct from various traditional states, like feudal, dynastic, churches, states and empires. Friedrich (1963), states that integration, as architectural metaphor involves the existence of conscious acting agents, like architects, engineers, carpenters amongst others. The political scientists used it to cover unconscious strategies initiated by states leaders to unplan societal changes to enhance national integration. Rokkan and Smith (1986) assert that integration has analytically aspects as follows:

- i. Economic and cultural unification of elites
- ii. The larger sectors of the masses in system through conscription in army and compulsory schools. The bourgeois mass media that creates channel of contact between the elites and periphery, generate widespread feelings of identity with political system at large.
- iii. The phase that brought people to active participation in political system.
- iv. The state expands public welfare services established, while nationwide policies for equal economic conditions were designed. In Nigeria, the process commenced with western civilization to national integration as a modern state.

Nigeria's federalism claims to be secular state enmeshed in religious upheavals by adopting sharia in some northern parts of the country. The management of a federal system in a multi-ethnic Nigeria is like a prescription of the chemist than crudity of alchemist (Ilesanmi, 2001). Managing federal system is delicate, balancing that requires flexibility and rigidity in operating the unambiguous principles. The power sharing, privileges and liabilities must follow agreed principles; the problem inhibiting national integration in Nigeria is the absence of self-sufficient, political and ideological commitment to the concept of federalism. A federal state that is unable to evolve equity formula on revenue sharing has no status to be a state ((Ayoade and Osaghae, 1984).

Functionalists Theory by Chime (1977)

Functionalist Theory was propounded by Chime (1977). Functionalists are first and foremost protagonists of the administration of things, instead of the government of men (Chime, 1977). For them, the nation-state would become inadequate as the supreme and exclusive unit for organizing human needs in the face of technological revolution. While technology for them is making the world smaller and drawing people nearer, politics has persistently in canalizing irrational divisiveness in the nation-state. The functionalists advocated forsaking of constitutional approach for the functional, to capitalize on welfare, on economic and social organization to the detriment of politics. According to them, if people recognize their felt needs, organized piecemeal on the basis of those non-political needs, the nation-state system would sooner or later be dissolved in a swelling pool of welfare functions (Chime, 1977).

As the nation-state is becoming increasingly incapable of fulfilling its basic social, economic and political tasks, more and more shared aims and functions would be delegated to the more efficient integration organizations, which would be capable to implement those functions. The main aim of the functionalists is to create supranational institutions (Domonkos, 2011).

The Power Theory by Oyovbaire (1985) and Barrats (1969)

Oyovbaire (1985) and Barrats (1969) are the two key proponents of Power Theory. Oyovbaire viewed power as the outcome of interactions between federal and state governments in terms of their freedom for, or constraints on, political action. And the second proponent of the Power Theory, Barrats argued that for power relationship to exist, there must be a conflict of interest or value among two or more persons, units or groups. A typical example exists in the interplay of conflict among groups of the cultural, tribal and ethnical in Nigeria, this study had earlier examined.

The twin concepts of federalism and national integration are interwoven in public administration space. Therefore, the adoption of power Theory is germane in analyzing the both concepts. Literally, power is non divisible unit of energy, which is capable of causing a change in reactions of its victim in spite of the victims' oppositions to the change. According to Follatt, "power is ability to make things happened, to be a causal agent to initiate change." She further noted that a superior does not share power with its immediate subordinates, but can give them opportunities for developing their powers. It can also encourage them to integrate their activities so as to achieve "jointly developed power".

IV. Methodology

Research Design

This study adopted Survey and Correlational Research Design. Survey research involves the use of a self-designed questionnaire in collecting data from the respondents. Correlation Research Design according to Kpolovie (2010), involves investigating the magnitude and direction (positive or negative) of relationship that exists between independent variable and one or more dependent variables.

Area of the Study

The study was carried out on the four states of South-South geopolitical zone in Nigeria. The six geopolitical zone in Nigeria comprises South West, South South, South East, North West, North East and North Central respectively. The South-South Nigeria refers to a geopolitical zone in the southern part of Nigeria, comprising six states namely; AkwaIbom, Bayelsa, Cross River, Delta, Edo and Rivers. This region is known for its rich cultural heritage, diverse ethnic groups, and significant economic resources, including oil and gas reserves. Moreso, South-South plays a vital role in Nigeria’s economy and politics, and her states have unique characteristics, attractions, and contributions to the country’s development. Notable attraction include the Niger Delta region, known for its vast wetlands and waterways, the Cross River National Park, home of diverse wildlife and forests, the ancient Kingdom of Benin, renowned for its rich history and cultural heritage, the vibrant cities of Port Harcourt and Uyo, hubs for business and entertainment and the science beaches and coastal towns along the Gulf of Guinea. Out of these, four states were randomly selected for this study, they are; AkwaIbom, Bayelsa, Delta and Rivers State. The Component states and Local governments of these four states are shown at the appendix.

Population of the Study

The population of the study covered the four states of AkwaIbom, Bayelsa, Delta and Rivers selected randomly from the six states that constituted South-South, Nigeria. Considering the Large population of these states, two Local Government Areas were selected from each State to include; AkwaIbom (Eket and Uyo), Bayelsa (Southern Ijaw and Yenagoa), Delta (Bomadi and Sapele) and Rivers (Eleme and Obio-Akpor).

Under AkwaIbom State, Eket LGA has a population of 233,544 and Uyo has 413,381. Under Bayelsa, SouthernIjaw LGA has a population of 479,000 and Yenagoa has a population of 524,400. Under Delta state, Bomadi LGA has a population of 117,900 and Sapele LGA a population of 238,800. Under Rivers state, Eleme LGA has a population of 273,500 while Obio-Akpor has a population of 665, 000. These brought the total population for the study to 2,945,525.

Fig. 3.1: Disposition of the Selected Local Governments in the Four States of South-South, Nigeria

States	Local Government Areas	Population
AkwaIbom	Eket	233,544
	Uyo	413,381
Bayelsa	Southern Ijaw	479,000
	Yenagoa	524,400
Delta	Bomadi	117,900
	Sapele	238,800
Rivers	Eleme	273,500
	Obio-Akpor	665,000
	Total	2,945,525

Source: City Population Statistics 2022 Projected Population

Sample Size and Sampling Technique

The Sample size of the study was 384 politicians, stake holders and workers drawn from the total population of 2,945,525 gotten from the two selected Local Government Areas in each of the four states using Krejcie and Morgan (1970) Formula for the determination of sample size for a known population s worked out as follows:=-

$$n = \frac{x^2NP(1 - p)}{e^2(N - 1) + X^2P(1 - P)}$$

Where:

- n = Sample size to be determined
- N = Finite population
- 1 = Constant
- e = Level of Significance taken to be 0.05

Given that:

- n = Sample size to be determined
- N = 2,945,525
- X² = 3.841
- 1 = Constant

P	=	0.5
e	=	Level of Significance taken to be 0.05
n	=	384

The selected population were all relevant to the study and also have equal chance of being selected for the study.

Multi-stage sampling technique was also employed to give the work a scientific proof. This include purposive, cluster and simple random sampling in selecting the sample of study. In purposive sampling, the researcher has a specific purpose or objective in mind when selecting the sample (Yin, 2018). Therefore, the sample was selected based on the characteristics or attributes that the researcher is interested in studying. The researcher also adopted cluster sampling to guard against the probability of selection bias as the population exists as clusters and areas of concentration picked and studied. The random sampling method is used in the sense that politicians, stakeholders and workers were randomly selected and questionnaires issued for their responses. However, out of the 384 questionnaires that were issued to the respondents, only 380 were correctly filled and returned and same was used in the final analysis in the study.

Instrumentation

Both primary and secondary sources of data collection are employed for the study in order to ensure the reliability of the information resulting from the research. The primary data for the study were gathered with the aid of a researcher developed instrument titled: "Federalism and National Integration Questionnaire (FNIQ)". The instrument was divided into two sections (A and B). Section A introduces the researcher to the respondents and informed the respondents of the purpose of the research. It also gathered information on the bio-data of the respondents. It measured demographic variables such as ethnicity, religion and language.

The second section B takes a structured format. It elicited twelve (12) items on ethnicity, power sharing and federal constitution in regards to national integration. The respondents' options were structured using ordinary rating scale

Validation of Instrument

Validity of instrument means the degree to which the research instrument measures what it purports to measure. It is also seen as the accuracy with which the measuring or data collecting instrument identifies the properties which the researcher intendsto measure or collect.

The research instrument was validated by three experts in the Department of Public Administration the Faculty of Management Sciences, Akwalbom State University. The instrument was subjected to both face and content validity, valuable correction effected by the supervisor and research experts were incorporated into the final copy of the instrument before taken to the field for administration. The face validity enabled the researcher to exercise her judgment based on the face value of the items on the questionnaire, while content validity gave the supervisor and other experts the opportunity to ensure that the measuring tools (questionnaire) cover the full range of idea and variables that it was supposed to cover.

Reliability of Instrument

Reliability of an instrument like questionnaire is usually proven in the field. Reliability simply means consistency of result derived from a given measure at different times. In this case, the reliability of the instrument was established using internal consistency approach, where the instrument was administered to 25 respondents who were not part of the study. Data collected through questionnaire were analysed using Cronbach Alpha Reliability Analysis to obtain a reliability coefficient where the reliability coefficient of 0.91 was obtained. Based on these reliability coefficients, the instrument was judged to be reliable.

Method of Data Collection

Both primary and secondary sources of data collection were adopted for the study. This was to guarantee the consistency of the data resulting from the study. Also, a 4 point likert scale structured questionnaire with 10 items questions for each respondent was used in the study to obtain information from the respondents. The response selections were Strongly Agreed (SA), Agreed (A), Disagreed (D) and Strongly Disagreed (SD). The secondary sources on the other hand, involved online material, published texts, journals, newspapers / magazines and government publications and reports on the progress of the programme.

Method of Data Analyses

Data collected were presented in graphical and table forms. The response in the table was converted to frequencies and percentages. Interpretations were based on the questions in the questionnaire which was used to answer research questions and test the hypotheses. The statistical procedure was used to test all the hypotheses at 0.05 level of significance. Pearson Product Moment Correlation (PPMC) was used to test the significant relationship between the dependent and independent variables. Analytical, theoretical and logical analysis was drawn from extant literature mainly from journals, magazines, textbooks, the internet materials, etc.

Decision Rule

When $r = 1$, there is a perfect relationship between the two variables x and y . This implies that when x increases, y increases. Accordingly, when $r = -1$, there is a perfect negative relationship between the two variables x and y , hence, when x increases,

y decreases and vice versa. The relevance of this method to the research is that it enables the correlation between x which is the independent variables and y which is the dependent variable.

V. Data Presentation, Analysis and Discussion Of Findings

Data Presentation

This chapter deals with data presentation, analysis and discussion of findings. The data which were collected through the use of questionnaire were presented on a frequency distribution table. Pearson Product Moment Correlation (PPMC) was utilized for testing the hypotheses in order to ascertain the nature of relationship that exists between the independent and dependent variables. A total of three hundred and eighty-four (384) copies of questionnaires were administered to politicians, stake holders and workers, only 380 were accurately filled in and returned, which was used for the Pearson Product Moment Correlation (PPMC) analysis utilized with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.0.

Table 4.1.1: Distributed Questionnaires

S/N	Category of Respondent	No. of Questionnaire Distributed	No. of Questionnaire Retrieved	Percentage (%) of Questionnaires Returned
1.	Politicians	81	80	21.05
2.	Stakeholders	100	100	26.32
3.	Workers	203	200	52.63
	Total	384	380	100

Source: (Field Work, 2024)

Table 4.1.2: Percentage of Useful Questionnaires

S/N	Category of Respondent	No. of Questionnaires Returned	No. of Questionnaires Found Useful	Percentage (%) of Useful Questionnaires
1.	Politicians	80	80	21.05
2.	Stakeholders	100	100	26.32
3.	Workers	200	200	52.63
	Total	380	380	100

Source: (Field Work, 2024)

Table 4.1.3: Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

Sex Characteristics	Frequency (N=380)	Percentage (%)
Male	210	55.26
Female	170	44.74
Total	380	100.00

Source: (Field Work, 2024)

Table 4.1.4: Distribution of Respondents by Age

Option	Frequency (N=380)	Percentage (%)
30-40	130	34.22
41-51	200	52.63
52-62	40	10.52
63 and above	10	2.63
Total	380	100.00

Source: (Field Work, 2024)

Table 4.1.5: Distribution of Respondents by Marital

Option	Frequency (N=380)	Percentage (%)
Single	140	36.8
Married	200	52.6

Widowed	21	5.5
Divorced	20	5.2
Total	380	100

Source: (Field Work, 2024)

Table 4.1.6: Distribution of Respondents by Education Background

Option	Frequency (N=380)	Percentage (%)
SSCE	60	15.8
NCE/OND	100	26.3
B.Sc./HND	190	50
M.Sc./Ph.D	30	7.9
Total	380	100

Source: (Field Work, 2024)

Table 4.1.3 shows that 210 respondents representing 55.26% were males, while 170 respondents representing 44.74% were females. The table also shows the age distribution of the respondents in the following order: 30-40, 200 respondents representing 34.22%, 41-51, 200 respondents representing 52.63%, 52-62, 40 respondents representing 10.52%, and 63 and above 10 respondents representing 2.63%. The table also reveals the marital status of the respondents which shows that 140 respondents representing 32.8% of the sample population were single, 200 respondents representing 52.6% were married, and 21 respondents representing 5.5% of the sample populations were widowed, while 20 respondents represent 5.2% were divorced. The Educational qualification distribution of the respondents reveals that 60 respondents representing 15.8% had SSCE, 100 respondents representing 26.3% had NCE and OND, 190 respondents representing 50% had HND and B.Sc. while 30 respondents representing 7.9% had M.sc and Ph.D.

Table 4.1.7: Responses for Research Question I: Ethnicity is Likely to Influence National Integration in Nigeria

S/N	Items	SA	A	D	SD
1.	Ethnicity has negative impact on national integration	215 [56.57]	152 [40]	6 [1.58]	7 [1.84]
2.	Needs and interest of diverse regions, ethnic groups and communities within Nigeria is not addressed by the Constitution	240 [63.16]	134 [35.26]	3 [0.79]	4 [1.05]
3.	Ethnicity has in the past threatened the co-operate existence of the nation	265 [69.74]	102 [26.84]	8 [2.20]	5 [1.31]
4.	Expressed desire by regions, ethnic groups and communities within Nigeria to secede from the federation is a function of ethnicity	254 [66.84]	122 [32.11]	8 [2.20]	6 [1.58]

In item one, 215 respondents representing 56.57% strongly agreed that ethnicity has negative impact on national integration. Accordingly, it was observed that 152 respondents representing 40% agreed, 6 respondents representing 1.58% strongly disagreed while 7 respondents representing 1.84% disagreed. For question two, 240 respondents representing 63.16% strongly agreed that the needs and interest of diverse regions, ethnic groups and communities within Nigeria is not addressed by the Constitution. It was also observed that 134 respondents representing 35.26% agreed, 3 respondents representing 0.79% strongly disagreed, while 4 respondents representing 1.05% disagreed. For item three, 265 respondents representing 69.74% strongly agreed that ethnicity has in the past threatened the co-operate existence of the nation. Accordingly, 102 respondents representing 26.84% agreed, 8 respondents representing 2.20% strongly disagreed, while 5 respondents representing 1.31% disagreed. Lastly, in item four, 254 respondents representing 66.84% strongly agreed that the expressed desire by regions, ethnic groups and communities within Nigeria to secede from the federation is a function of ethnicity. It was observed that 122 respondents representing 32.11% agreed, 8 respondents representing 2.20% strongly disagreed, while 6 respondents representing 1.58% disagreed.

4.1.8 Responses for Research Question II; Power Sharing is likely to Influence National Integration in Nigeria

S/N	Items	SA	A	SD	D
5.	Power sharing arrangements within the governance structure will not allow for national integration in Nigeria	152 [40]	215 [56.75]	6 [1.58]	7 [1.84]
6.	Unity and stability is not promoted by the power sharing	240	134	3	4

	mechanisms in Nigeria	[63.15]	[35.26]	[0.79]	[1.05]
7.	Lack of citizens' participation in discussion or activities related to power sharing mechanism is a threat to national integration.	265 [69.74]	102 [26.84]	8 [2.20]	5 [1.31]
8.	Nigeria's stability is dependent on the impact of power sharing arrangements	6 [1.58]	122 [32.11]	8 [2.20]	254 [66.84]

In item five, 152 respondents representing 40% strongly agreed that power sharing arrangements within the governance structure will not allow for national integration in Nigeria. Accordingly, it was observed that 215 respondents representing 56.75% agreed, 6 respondents representing 1.58% strongly disagreed, while 7 respondents representing 1.84% disagreed. For item six, 240 respondents representing 63.16% strongly agreed, that unity and stability is not promoted by the power sharing mechanisms in Nigeria. It was also observed that 134 respondents representing 35.26% agreed, 3 respondents representing 0.79% strongly disagreed while 4 respondents representing 1.05% disagreed. For item seven, 265 respondents representing 69.74% strongly agreed that Lack of citizens' participation in discussion or activities related to power sharing mechanism is a threat to national integration. Accordingly, 102 respondents representing 26.84% agreed, 8 respondents representing 2.20% strongly disagreed while 5 respondents representing 1.31% disagreed. Lastly in item eight, 6 respondents representing 1.58% strongly agreed that Nigeria's stability is dependent on the impact of power sharing arrangements. It was observed that 122 respondents representing 32.11% agreed, 8 respondents representing 2.20% strongly disagreed, while 254 respondents representing 66.84% disagreed.

Table 4.1.9: Responses for Research Question III; Federal Constitution tends to Influence National Integration in Nigeria

S/N	Items	SA	A	SD	D
9.	Familiarity with the federal constitution contributes to national integration	47 [12.36]	53 [13.94]	127 [33.42]	153 [40.26]
10.	Unresolved constitutional issues deplete the conditions for national integration in Nigeria	153 [40.26]	127 [33.42]	47 [12.36]	53 [13.94]
11.	Federal constitution does not recognize and protect the cultural and linguistic diversity within Nigeria	82 [21.57]	157 [41.31]	71 [18.68]	70 [18.42]
12.	Rights and identities of all citizens regardless of cultural or linguistic background are not affirmed by the federal constitution	167 [43.94]	176 [46.31]	17 [4.47]	20 [5.26]

In item nine, 47 respondents representing 12.36% strongly agreed that familiarity with the federal constitution contributes to national integration. Accordingly, it was observed that 53 respondents representing 13.94% agreed, 127 respondents representing 33.42% strongly disagreed, while 153 respondent representing 40.26% disagreed. For question ten, 153 respondents representing 40.26% strongly agreed that unresolved constitutional issues deplete the conditions for national integration in Nigeria. It was also observed that 127 respondents representing 33.42% agreed, 47 respondents representing 12.36% strongly disagreed, while 53 respondents representing 13.94% disagreed. For item eleven, 82 respondents representing 21.57% strongly agreed that Federal constitution does not recognize and protect the cultural and linguistic diversity within Nigeria. Accordingly, 157 respondents representing 41.31% agreed, 70 respondents representing 18.68% strongly disagreed while 70 respondents representing 18.42% disagreed. Lastly, in item twelve, 167 respondents representing 43.94% strongly agreed that the rights and identities of all citizens regardless of cultural or linguistic background are not affirmed by the federal constitution. It was observed that 176 respondents representing 46.31% agreed, 17 respondents representing 4.47% strongly disagreed, while 20 respondents representing 5.26% disagreed.

Testing of Hypothesis I

H₀: There is no significant relationship between ethnicity and National Integration in Nigeria.

H₁: Ethnicity is Likely to influence National integration in Nigeria

Table 4.2.1: Pearson Product Moment Correlation (PPMC) Analysis on how ethnicity is Likely to influence National integration in Nigeria

S/N	Statement	SA	A	SD	D	Total
1.	Ethnicity has negative impact on national integration	215	152	6	7	380
2.	Needs and interest of diverse regions, ethnic groups and communities within Nigeria is not addressed by the Constitution	240	134	3	4	380
3.	Ethnicity has in the past threatened the co-operate existence of the	265	102	8	5	380

	nation					
4.	Expressed desire by regions, ethnic groups and communities within Nigeria to secede from the federation is a function of ethnicity	254	122	8	6	380

Table 4.2.2: Pearson Correlation Computation and Result

Variables	$\sum X_1$ $\sum Y$	$\sum X_1^2$ $\sum Y^2$	$\sum X_1 Y$	R	Z _{calculated}	Z _{table value}	N
Ethnicity (X ₁)	1484	47					
			17424	0.29	2.10	1.96	380
National Integration in Nigeria (Y)	5506 30	583					

r = Correlation Coefficient; N= No. of Respondents; Not Significant at 0.05 significant level **Source:** Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS- Ver. 20.0)

Decision Rule: when r = 1, there is a perfect relationship between the two variables x and y. this implies that when x increases, y increases. Accordingly, when r = -1, there is a perfect negative relationship between the two variables x and y, hence, when x increases, y decreases and vice versa.

Interpretation: The Pearson Product Moment Correlation (PPMC) analysis on ethnicity and national integration has revealed a positive correlation coefficient of 0.29. The implication of the correlation value based on the decision rule is that the relationship between the two variables is significant. This further means that ethnicity has had negative impact on national integration in Nigeria. In addition to the above, the calculated value (2.10) that is greater than table value (1.96) at 0.05 level of significant. This implies that the relationship between ethnicity and national integration is significant. In this case, it can be affirmed that there is a significant relationship between ethnicity and national integration in Nigeria. Hence, the null hypothesis that was stated that Ethnicity is not likely to influence National integration in Nigeria is rejected in favour of the alternative hypothesis that was stated that Ethnicity is likely to influence National integration in Nigeria.

Testing of Research Hypothesis II

H₀: Supremacy of power sharing does not influence National Integration in Nigeria

H₁: Supremacy of power sharing is likely to influence National Integration in Nigeria

S/N	Statement	SA	A	SD	D	Total
1.	Power sharing arrangements within the governance structure will not allow national integration in Nigeria	152	215	6	7	380
2.	Unity and stability is not promoted by the power sharing mechanisms in Nigeria	240	134	3	4	380
3.	Lack of citizens' participation in discussion or activities related to power sharing mechanism is a threat to national integration.	265	102	8	5	380
4.	Nigeria's stability is dependent on the impact of power sharing arrangements	6	122	8	254	380

Table 4.2.3: Pearson Correlation Computation and Result

Variables	$\sum X_1$ $\sum Y$	$\sum X_1^2$ $\sum Y^2$	$\sum X_1 Y$	R	Z _{calculated}	Z _{table value}	N
Power Sharing (X ₁)	1484	47					
			17424	0.29	2.10	1.96	380
National Integration in Nigeria (Y)	5506 30	583					

r = Correlation Coefficient; N= No. of Respondents; Not Significant at 0.05 significance level **Source:** Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS- Ver. 20.0)

Decision Rule: when r = 1, there is a perfect relationship between the two variables x and y. this implies that when x increases, y increases. Accordingly, when r = -1, there is a perfect negative relationship between the two variables x and y, hence, when x increases, y decreases and vice versa.

Interpretation: The Pearson Product Moment Correlation (PPMC) analysis on Power sharing and national integration has revealed a positive correlation coefficient of 0.29. The implication of the correlation value based on the decision rule is that the relationship between the two variables is significant. This further means that Power sharing significantly affects national integration in Nigeria. In this case, it can be affirmed that there is a significant relationship between Power sharing and national integration in Nigeria. Hence, the null hypothesis that was stated that Power sharing is not likely to influence National integration in Nigeria is rejected, while the alternative hypothesis that was stated that Power sharing is likely to influence National integration in Nigeria is accepted.

Evaluation of Research Hypothesis III:

H₀: Federal Constitution does not influence National Integration in Nigeria

H₁: Federal Constitution tends to influence National Integration in Nigeria

Table 4.2.4: Pearson Product Moment Correlation (PPMC) Analysis on relationship between Federal Constitution and National Integration in Nigeria

S/N	Statement	SA	A	SD	D	Total
1.	Familiarity with the federal constitution contributes to national integration	47	53	127	153	380
2.	Unresolved constitutional issues deplete the conditions for national integration in Nigeria	153	127	47	53	380
3.	Federal constitution does not recognize and protect the cultural and linguistic diversity within Nigeria	82	157	71	70	380
4.	Rights and identities of all citizens regardless of cultural or linguistic background is not affirmed by the federal constitution	167	176	17	20	380

Table 4.2.5: Pearson Correlation Computation and Result

Variables	$\sum X_3$ $\sum Y$	$\sum X_3^2$ $\sum Y^2$	$\sum X_3 Y$	R	Z _{calculated}	Z _{tabulated}	N
Poor supervision and monitoring (X ₃)	1471	429					
			43851	0.99	12.13	3.15	380
the rate of student's enrolment in primary schools (Y)	119169	439811					

r = Correlation Coefficient; N= No. of Respondents; Not Significant at 0.05 significance level

Source: Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS- Ver. 20.0)

Decision Rule: when r = 1, there is a perfect relationship between the two variables x and y. this implies that when x increases, y increases. Accordingly, when r = -1, there is a perfect negative relationship between the two variables x and y, hence, when x increases, y decreases and vice versa.

Interpretation: The Pearson Product Moment Correlation (PPMC) analysis on Federal constitution and national integration has revealed a negative correlation coefficient of 0.99. The implication of the correlation value based on the decision rule is that the relationship between the two variables is significant. This further means that Federal Constitution has provisions that influence national integration in Nigeria. On the test of significance, the calculated value of 12.13 (Z_{calculated}) was obtained against the table value of 3.15at 0.05 level of significance giving that federal constitution and national integration is significantly related. In this case, it can be affirmed that there is a significant relationship between federal constitution and national integration in Nigeria. Hence, the null hypothesis that was stated that federal constitution will not tend to influence National integration in Nigeria is rejected in favour of the alternative hypothesis that was stated that federal constitution tends to influence National integration in Nigeria.

VI. Discussion of Findings

The finding of the study in null hypothesis one showed that there is no significant relationship between ethnicity and national integration in Nigeria. Majority of scholars and respondents in the study are of the opinion that ethnicity has negative influence on national integration in Nigeria. This is based on the observation that the country continues to be engulfed in persistent ethical conflicts that do not argue well for the cordial existence of the country. In line with this finding, both Obiefuno Uzogwe (2012) and OghoghoUgu (2020) contended that ethnicity positively influence national integration in south

south, Nigeria. That all hands should be desk to forestall possible breaking up of the country by the minority nationalities, who always feel marginalized and cheated.

The finding of the study in the null hypothesis two showed that there is no significant relationship between supremacy of power sharing and national integration in Nigeria. This was rejected and the alternative hypothesis that supremacy of power sharing tends to influence national integration in Nigeria. This is perennial problem in Nigeria which is an age long one, concerning the haphazard manner and inequality in power and resources sharing in Nigeria among the diverse nationalities and groups. Specifically, many scholars and respondents complain about the distribution of appointments and political offices between the minorities and majorities. In the line with the finding of study, Mustapha and Fatima (2019) argued that the present system of federalism as practiced in Nigeria is responsible for the problem of majority's domination over the minority, as the minority lacks access to equitable resources sharing of governmental opportunities and offices. Also Monday and Samuel (2016) argued that the central control of oil resources and utilization in Nigeria tend to favour the major ethnic groups, rather than the minority from which the oil is exploited.

The Null Hypotheses three which earlier posited that there is no significant relationship between Federal Constitution and national integration was rejected, meaning that there is a significant relationship between Federal Constitution and National Integration in Nigeria.

Generally, the Nigerian citizens and the respondents have disagreed and frowned at the manner political powers and functions are concentrated at the centre, at the detriment of the States and Local Governments. This is one of the reasons why most citizens and scholars have agitated for restructuring of Nigeria state, so as to devolve or decentralize powers and function to states and local governments. In support of this stand, Nasir (2021) argued that the practice of federalism in Nigeria is dysfunctional, due mainly to the distorted nature of the federal Constitution. In the same vein, Inyang (2014) refers to Nigeria Constitution has being imperfect and that, Nigerian federalism is riddled by challenges of over centration of governmental powers at the centre.

VII. Conclusion

The study has revealed a strong influence and significant relationship among ethnicity, power sharing and federal constitution and national integration in South-South, Nigeria. It could be concluded on the basis of findings of the study that, ethnicity, supremacy for power sharing, and Federal Constitution have significant relationship on national integration in South-South, Nigeria.

VIII. Recommendations

1. To forestall possible breakup of the ethnic nationalities in Nigeria, following their growing agitations, the government should use the spirit of accommodation, consultation, negotiation and inclusion to stem the spate of agitations among the teeming ethnic nationalities, instead of exclusion and denial of their rights. This approach will certainly assuage their age long claim of deprivation and rejection.
2. Supremacy of power sharing in the country is one of the tortuous issues Nigeria has grabbed with in order to promote national integration. It is advocated that equitable sharing or distribution of political offices and appointments on the basis of the spirit of federal character principle and true federalism should be encouraged. This is capable to allay the fears of the aggrieved minorities in the country who often filled cheated in appointments into federal, state and local governments. Moreso, this will guarantee democratic stability in a pluralistic country as Nigeria.
3. Like any other constitutions of other federal polities, the Nigerian constitution is the supreme law of the land, which provisions are binding on all authorities and groups of persons throughout the Federal Republic of Nigeria. Such provisions as; division of powers and functions among the levels of government; fundamental objective and directives principles; citizenship and fundamental human rights etc. are hallmarks in the 1999 Constitution that provide the teeth and strength to our Constitution. It is therefore, incumbent on the government at the centre to utilize the constitutional provisions therein to ensure fairness and equity in the treatment and resolution of multiple problems confronting all tiers of government and citizens.

References

1. Abdulkarim, S. B. (2014). Readings in Nigerian Government and Administration. Ahmadu Bello Press Ltd.
2. Adamolekun, O. (1983). Public Administration in Africa: Main Issues and Selected Countries Westview: Boulder.
3. Aderunke, M. (2015). Federalism in Nigeria the Past, current, Paril and Future. Journal of Policy and Development Studies Vol. 9 No. 2
4. Adetiba, T. C., and Rahim, A. (2012). Between Ethnicity, Nationality and Development in Nigeria. International Journal of Development and I Sustainability, 1(3): 656-674.
5. Agbodike, G. (2004). Uncivil Politics: The Unnecessary Precursor to Under-Development in Nigeria. Greener Journal of Social Sciences, 3 (9), 479-488. Retrieved from www.gjournals.org on 28/8/2014.
6. Aghogho, K. E. and Ugo, C. O. (2020). Ethnicity, Religion, Politics and the Challenges of National Development in Nigeria. Journal of Public Administration, Finance and Law, 1(8): 26-42.
7. Aliyu, A. A. and Isah, S. M. (2018). Nigerian Federalism and National Development: Pursuit, Prospects, and Impediments to Unity and Nation Building. Department of General Studies, Federal Polytechnic, Bauchi-Nigeria bei.. Conference Paper, 1-15.

8. Aliyu, A. A. and Mohammed, I. S. (2014). Nigerian federalism and National Development. Pursuit, prospects and impediments to unity and nation-building. Research Gate. Centre for the Promotion of International Relations, Studies and Development (CIRSD).
9. Alkasim, Y. (2017). Historical Background of Conflict in Kaduna State and the Role of Conflict. Arts and Social Science Journal Vol. 5 pp 45-50.
10. Andrew, C. (2001). Performance appraisal and management: The developing research agenda. Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology, 74(4): 473- 487.
11. Anyebe, (1995). Civil Society and Ethnic Conflict Management in Nigeria. London: Macmillan Educational Book Ltd.
12. Awodun, G. and Oyeniyi, O. (201 8). The influence of fiscal federalism and national development in Ekiti State, Nigeria. Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review (OMAN Chapter) 2(7): 15-21
13. Ayoade J. (1982): Federalism in Nigeria: The Worship of an Unknown God", Paper Presented at a Special Seminar Held at the Institute of African Studies on Wednesday, 10th February, 19%2.
14. Ayonade A. and Osaghae, E. (1984). Federal Character Past, Present, and Future in Eketi Federal Character and Federalism in Nigeria, Ibadan. Heinemann Educational Book, Nigeria.
15. Babawale, N. (2019). The extent to which Federalism Influences National Integration in Isokan Local Government Area of Osun State. Nigeria. Research on Humanities and Social Sciences, 3(9): 34-41
16. Barrats, D. (1969). Federalism and the search for National Integration in Nigeria. African Journal of Political Science and International Relations, 3(3): 384-395.
17. Barret, R.S. (2003). Issues and Perspectives: on Religion. In J.K. Olupana and T. Falola (eds.) Religion and Society in a Nigeria: Spectrum Books.
18. Bell, C. (2018). Political Power-Sharing and Inclusion: Peace and Transition Process. Edinburgh.
19. Bello, I. (2013). Introduction in 50 years of the Nigerian Projects, Challenges and Prospects, London College Press and Publisher
20. Bello, M. L. (2012). Federal Character as a Recipe for National Integration: The Nigerian Paradox. International Journal of Politics and Good Governance, 3(3), 1-17. Retrieved from <http://www.onlineresearchjournals.com/ijjopagg/on> 26/8/2014
21. Cameron, K. (1978). Measuring organizational effectiveness in institutions of higher education. Administrative Science Quarterly, 23(1), 604-632.
22. Carvalho, A. (2016). Power-Sharing: Concepts, Debates and Gaps. Journal of International Relations, ISSN: 1647-7251, Vol.7, No. 1.
23. Charles Arinze et al (2019). Fiscal Federalism and National Integration in Nigeria The Restructuring Question International Journal of Academic Multidisciplinary Research (IJAMR) Vol. 3 No. 3.
24. Chijioke, B. et al (2018). Federalism and the Challenges of Nation Building in Nigeria. International Journal of World Policy and Development Studies Vol. 4 No. 10.
25. Chime, C. (1977). Integration and politics among African States: Limitations and Horizons of Midterm Theorizing. Upsala: Scandinavian Institute of African Studies.
26. Christian, G.P. (2014). Impact of Promotion to Employees Programme at Dar Essalaam City Council. A Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for Award of the Degree of Masters of Science in Human Resources Management. M.Sc. – HRM) of Mzumbe University.
27. Chukwurah Daniel et al (2020). National Integration and Challenges of Nation Building in Nigeria International Journal of Academic Management Science Research (IJAMSR) Vol. 4 No. 3.
28. Cinjel, N. D., and Chujor, F. O. (2017). Secularism, Religion and the Rising Challenges of National Cohesion in Nigeria, 2000-2015. International Journal of Religious and Cultural Practice, 3(1): 1- 11.
29. Coleman. J and Roshet C. (1964). Political Parties and National Integration in Tropical Africa. University of California Press.
30. Daniel J. (2007). "Federalism Rights" in Ellis G. Katz, and Alan Tarr (eds) Roman and Littlefield Publishers, INC.
31. Dele Adetoye (2016). Nigeria's Federalism and State Reorganization and Restructuring: Attempts at National Integration through Fragmentation. International journal of Academic Research Vol. 4. No. 2.
32. Deutsch, K. (2009). The Analysis of International Relations. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
33. Domonkos, E. (2011). Integration Theories and Integration forms: EU Integration Knowledge. Memeograph series.
34. Ebegebulem, J.C. (201 1). Ethnic politics and conflicts in Nigeria: Theoretical perspective. KhazarJournal of Humanities and Social Sciences, 14(3): 76-91
35. Edosa. E. (2014). National Integration, Citizenship Political Participation and Democratic Stability in Nigeria International Journal of Arts and Humanities (IJAH) Vol. 3 No. II.
36. Egwu, S. (2001). The political economy of ethnic and religious conflicts in Nigeria. In F. Okoye (ed). Ethnic and Religious Rights in Nigeria. Human Right Monitor.
37. Ekeng, A. (2003). "Renewing the Federal paradigm in Nigeria: Contending Issues and Perspectives in Federalism in Africa. Aaron, Gama and Samuel Egwu (Eds.) Asmara: Africa World) Press Inc.
38. Eliagwu, J. I. (2005).The Politics of Federalism in Nigeria. Oxford Aha Publishing House.
39. Eliagwu, J. I. (2012). The Politics of Federalism in Nigeria. Oxford Aha Publishing House
40. Ellah, E. and Ita, J. (2017) The Correlational Relationship between Ethnicity and Religion in AbiLocal Government Area of Cross River State. It adopted a survey research design. International Journal of Politics and Good Governance, (32): 45-51

41. Eme, O. and Onyisishi O. (2014). Federalism and Nation Building in Nigeria, *Arabian Journal of Businesses and Management Review (Nigerian Chapter)* Vol. 2 No. 6.
42. Emma, C. and Alexander (2016). Intergovernmental Relations and the Performance of Local Government in Nigeria: Diagnosing the Elephant Problems. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review (Omon Chapter)* Vol. 6 No. 3
43. Emmanuel, Ameh (2017). Federalism Nigerian Federal Constitution and the Practice of Federalism. *An Appraisal Biting Law Review*. <http://www.scirp.org/Journal/biv>, ISSN online 2/59.4635.
44. Emmanuel, O. Ojo (2009). Federalism and the Search for National Integration to Nigeria *African Journal of Political and International Relations* Vol. 3 (9) pp. 384-396.
45. Etizioni, A. (1965). Political Unification cited in Onifade (2013). *Towards National Integration in Nigeria: Jumping the Hurdles*, *Research on Humanities and Social Sciences*. Online Journal available at <http://www.II.ste.org>. Accessed 16/7/2016.
46. Ezeani, E. O. (2015). Language and culture: Pragmatic instrument for national cohesion. In Linda C. Nkamigbo and Felicia O. Asadu. Eds. *Current Issues in Linguistics, Language and Culture Studies: A Festschrift in Honour of Professor Cecilia AmaogeEme @ 50*. Pp.178-187. Nkpor: Brystevand Publishers.
47. Ezeugbor, D. C. (2008). The Impact of the Oil Subsidy Removal on Infrastructural Development in Nigeria (2000-2012). *Public Administration Research*; 3(1):88-97.
48. Fatile, L. and Adejuwon, J. (2010). "National Cohesion, National Planning and the Constitution" in Suleiman, K. and Abubaka, A. (eds.) *Issues in Nigerian Draft Constitution*. Zaria: Bakara Press Ltd.
49. Fatilex Adequmon, (2010). Concepts, Debates and Gaps. *Journal of International Relations*, ISSN: 1647-7251, (7):1-11.
50. Federian, N. (2006). *The Academic Profession in the Globalization Age: key trends, Challenges and Possibilities, Higher Education in the New Country*. Boston: Boston College.
51. Fredrick C. (1963). *Trends in Federalism in Theory and Practice*, Oxford Praever.
52. Friedrich, C. (1963). *Federalism and National Integration*. Oxford, University Press.
53. Gbadeshin, E. O., and Adeyemi-Adejolu, E. A. (2016). Religion and challenges of Development Nigeria in 21st century. *Journal of Philosophy, Culture and Religion*, 2(4): 23-31.
54. Haas, L. (1974). *Issues in Nigerian Fiscal Federalism, the Relationship between the Principle of Dériivation and Resource Control*. Kuwait Chapter of *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*, 1(5): 54-72.
55. Hornby, S. (2000), *Oxford advanced Learners' Dictionary*. Oxford University Press.
56. Horowitz, Donald (1985). *Ethnic Groups in Conflict*. University of California Press.
57. Ilesanmi, S. (2001): "Constitutional Treatment of Religion and the Politics of Human Rights in Nigeria", *African Affairs*, Vol. 100.
58. Imman, B. (2008). "The Development of Women's Seclusion in Hausa and Northern Nigeria" *Women Living under Moslems Laws*. Dossier 9/10 4 -18.
59. Isinkaye, K. and Ago-Iwoye (2023). National Integration in a Federal State: A case of Nigeria. https://doi.org/10.47743/jopatl_2023,29-23.
60. Isiwele, B. (2013). *Federalism and National Integration, Issues and Challenges MSc Dissertation*, Caritas University, Amorji Nike Enugu State, Unpublished Dissertation.
61. Iwuoha, C. M. A. (2014). The role of Religion in Nigerian Sustainable Development. *International Journal of Theology and Reformed Tradition*, 6(2): 163-176.
62. Iyanga, V. (2018). *Challenges of Nation Building in Plural Societies: The case of the Nigerian nation state*. In S.O. Oloruntoba and V. Gumede (eds.). *State and Development in Post-Independent. Africa*. Pan University Press
63. Jan, P. (2012). John Higley's work an elite Foundation of Social k Theory and Politics. In: *Historical Social Research* 37, 1, 9-20. Retrieved from <http://nbn-resolving delurn:nbn:de:0168-ssoar> on 3/1 1/20 14
64. Janeline, K. (2010). *The Federal Character Principle and the Search of National Integration in KunleAmuwo et al (eds) Federalism and Political Restructuring in Nigeria*. Ibadan, Ibadan Spectrum Books Limited.
65. Jinadu, (2004). *Federalism and Political restructuring in Nigeria*, Jos. Spectrum Books.
66. Jordan, L. A. (1978). *Government and Power in West Africa*. Ethiope Publishing Cooperation. *Journal of Arts and Humanities*, 1 (3): 63-74.
67. Kalagbor, S. B. and Deinibiteim, M. H. (2020). Leadership Failure and National Integration in Nigeria: Implication for Nation Building. *Global Journal of Political Science and Administration*, 8(3) 45-61.
68. Kapur, H. (1986). *Egionalism and National Integration in Democratic policy*. Annual Distinguished Lecture Delivered at the National Institute for Policy and Strategic Studies (NIP SS) on Friday. November 25, to Mark the Annual Graduating Ceremony of the Institute.
69. Karl Deutsch, (1965) "The Contribution of Tribalism to Nationalism in Western Nigeria" *Journal of Human Relations*, 8(4):3-4.
70. Kayode, A. (2015). Federalism and Federal Character Principle in Nigeria: A Dilution, *Review of Public Administration and Management* 3(7): 32.44.
71. Kennedy, O. (2022). The impact of leadership and power-sharing on Nigeria's Federalism on the issues and perspectives. *Journal of Global Social Sciences*,3(11): 7597.
72. Kenneth, M. (2012). *Federal Character and Social Class*. Ibadan: Heinenam and Educational Books.
73. Kpolorie, J. (2010). Power-sharing Concepts, Debates and Gaps. *Journal of International Relations*, ISSN: 1647-7251, 7(1): 67-73.

74. Kutigi, D. H., Saleh, S. D. & Shigaba, D. (2017). Power Sharing within a Federal State: Nigeria in Context. *Uniport Law Review*, Vol. 2, www.uniportlawjournals.com
75. Krejeie, R. V., and Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining Sample Size for Research Activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 30(3), 607-610.
76. Ifeanyichukwu, M., Paul, H., Obinna, A., and Ikedi, J. (2022). Fiscal Federalism and Challenges of National Development in Nigeria, *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(1): 72-84.
77. Lihphart, Arend (2002). Power-sharing in South Africa. *Policy Papers in International Affairs*. Berkeley Institute of International Studies University of California Press.
78. Livingstone, W. (2006) *Federalism and Constitutional Change*, Oxford University Press.
79. Madubuegwu, C, Onyia, V., Agudiegwu, O., Odoh, V. and Bgbo, S. (2020). Fiscal Federalism in Nigeria: Exploring Challenges and Fundamentals. *International Journal of Academic Multi-Disciplinary Research*, ISSN: 2643-9670.
80. Maikasawa, A. (2017). Sustainable Development: the Challenges of Ethno-religious Crisis in Nigeria. *Kaduna Journal of Political Science*, 4(2): 115 – 130.
81. Majekodunmi, A. (2013). Federal Character Principle as a Recipe for National Integration In Nigeria: An Overview. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Management and Social Sciences*, 2(6): 65-84.
82. Majekodunmi, A. (2015). Federalism in Nigeria: The Past. Current Peril. *Journal of Policy and Development Studies*, 9(2): 107- 120.
83. Muhammad, A. A. (2007), Federalism and Political Instability in Nigeria. Current Peril and future flopes. *African Journal of Stability Development*, 1(2): 2-16
84. Ngele, O.K. (2008). Religion, Politics and Ethnicity: Challenges of pluralism in Nigerian development. *BasseyAndah Journal*, 1(3): 177-190.
85. Nnamani D, et al (2016). Federal character Principle and National Integration in Nigeria Focus, on Federal Civil Service Recruitment. *Nigerian Journal of Administrative and Political Studies* Vol. 5 (1).
86. Nnoli, O. (1978). *Ethnic Politics in Nigeria*. Enugu, Nigeria: Fourth Dimension.
87. Nnoli, O. (1979). *Ethnic Politics in Nigeria*. Enugu, Nigeria: Fourth Dimension.
88. Obadehum, O. Simon and Adamu, O. (2016). Federal character Principle and the Challenges of National Integration in Nigeria: A Comparative Analysis of some Federal Appointments under Jonathan and Buhari Administrations. *Journal of sustainable Development in Africa* Vol. 18 No. 3.
89. Obi, C. (2009). "The Impact of Oil on Nigerian Revenue Allocation Problems and Prospects for National Restructuring" in Amuwo, et al (eds) *Federalism and Political Restructuring in Nigeria*. Spectrum Books Ltd.
90. Obiefuna, B., and Uzoigwe, A. (2012). Studying Religion for Sustainable Development in Nigeria. *Unizik Journal of Arts and Humanities*, 13): 132-159.
91. Odukoya, K. and Ashiru, L. (2007). National Integration in Nigerian. Institute of social and Economic Research (NISER) Nigeria: Problems and prospects. *Distinguished lecture*, 5, 29.
92. Ogbonnaya, U. M. and Oshinfowokan, G. (2015). Security, National Integration and the Challenges of Development in Nigeria, *African Journal of Politics and Administrative Studies*, 8(1): 1-9.
93. Ogoloma, F.I. (2012). Secularism in Nigeria: An Assessment. *AFRREV IJAH: An International Journal of Politics and Good Governance*, 5(1): 3-10.
94. Ogugua, P. I, and Ogugua, I. C. (2015). Religion and Politics in Nigerian Society: Problems and Prospects (a philosophic probe). *Open Journal of Philosophy*, 5(3): 193-204.
95. Ogundiya, A. and Abdullhi, M. (2012). *Readings in Nigerian Government and Administration*, Zaria, Ahmadu Bello Press Ltd.
96. Ojo, E. (2009). *Federalism and the Search for National Integration in Nigeria*. London College Press.
97. Ojo, S. O. J. (2003). "The Irony of Democracy and the Challenge of Democratic Consolidation in Africa". In F.E. Iyoha. V.O. Aghayere and P.O. Oviasuyi (Eds.)
98. Olu, O. and Adedeke, A. (2021). Nigeria's Federalism and Challenges of Implementing Federal Character Principle *Journal of Public Administration, Finance and Law*. <https://doi.org/10.47743/Jopa.2021-19.04>.
99. Olusegu A. (2010). Federalism and the Challenges of Nation-Building in Nigeria *International Journal of Research in Arts and social Sciences* Vol. 2 (3).
100. Oluwatusin, A., and Daisi, S.A. (2018). Impact of Party Politics on Decision Making in Government: The Nigerian Experience. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences* 23 (4): 90-98.
101. Oni, M. A., and A Yomola, O. (2013). 1999 Constitution and National Integration: A Comparative Study of Constitution and Constitutionalism in Nigeria (1999-2009). *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review (OMAN Chapter)*, (2):72-90. Retrieved from <http://www.arabianjbm.com/> on 2/9/2014.
102. Originta, H. and Amah N. (2020). Political Parties and National Integration in Nigeria *African Journal of Politics and Administration* Vol. 13, No. 2.
103. Orji, N. (2008). Power-sharing: The Element of Continuity in Nigerian Politics. A Dissertation Submitted to the Department of Political Science in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy, Central European University, Budapest.
104. Oyoubaire, J. (1985). Federalism in Nigeria. *Journal of Modern African Studies*.
105. Paul, I. and Osara, O. (2021). Federalism in Nigeria: Evolution, Development and Practice. *International Journal of Academic Science Research (IJAMSR)* Vol. 5 No. 6
106. Phillip, O. and Adekeye, J. A. (2016). National Integration and Democratic Consolidation in Nigeria, *African Educational Research Journal* 5(2): 114-119

107. Ricker, W. H. (2006). *Federalism, Origin, Operation and Significance*, Little Brown, Boston MA.
108. Roeder and Ruthchild (2005). *Federalism- An Overview*. HSRC Publishers
109. Rokkan and Smith (1986). The Expectations of Nigeria Federalism. *Journal of Policy and Development*, 5(10): 24-31
110. Salawu, B. (2010). Ethno-Religious conflict in Nigeria: Causal Analysis and Proposals for New Management Strategies. *European Journal of Social Science*, 120:3-18
111. Salawu, B., and Hassan, A. O. (2011). Ethnic politics and its implications for the survival of Democracy in Nigeria. *Journal of Public Administration and Policy Research*, 3(2): 28-33.
112. Sam, O., Olusanya, E., Ajide, K., Afees, A., and Akinola S. (2017). Fiscal Federalism in Nigeria: A Cluster Analysis of Revenue Allocation to States and Local Government Areas, 1999 - 2008, *CBN Journal of Applied Statistics*, 3(1): 65-83
113. Sanda, A O, (1999). *Sociology of Development. Fact Findings*.
114. Sani Yusuf (2022). Federalism and Nation Building in Nigeria. The challenges and the way forward. *Journal of Administration Science* Vol. 19 Issue 2 pp. 267-256.
115. SarkinDorí, N.A. (2019). Conflict and Sustainable Development in Nigeria: A political economy approach. *International Journal of Social and Economic Research (DJER)*, 2(2): 11-21
116. Shabi, O., and Awe, K. (2001). *Understanding Society and Social Relations*. Jos Concept Publications.
117. Shehu, M. I. (2017). Resource Control and Allocation in Nigerian Federalism: The Oil Dilemma, 7(3), 237-251.
118. Takan, H. (2011). *Federal Government*, (4th Edition). New York: Oxford University Press.
119. The Daily Trust Newspaper August 23rd, 2013 p. 13.
120. The Human Right Watch September 10th, 2015 p. 6.
121. Thompson, A. (2004). *An Introduction to African Politics*. Routledge.
122. Timothy, J. (1996). "Federalism and Competing Values in the Reagan Administration" in Laurence J. O. Toole and American IGR, Washington Press.
123. Ukeje (1992). *Mechanisms of National integration in a Multi-Ethnic Federation State: The Nigerian Experience*. Ibadan: John Archers Publishers, Ltd.
124. Ukeye, I. (1955). Ofonagora, N. (1979). *Reading on Federalism Lagos: Nigeria Institute of International Affairs*.
125. Ukwueze, E. R. (2015). Local Government and Fiscal Federalism in Nigeria. *Jos Journal of Economics*, 4(1): 174-194.
126. Umanah, A. (2018). Ethnicity and National Integration in Nigeria: Towards the Use of Indigenous Language Option for Information Dissemination at the Grassroot. *UJAH* 19(2): 163 - 171.
127. Weiner, M. (1971). *Political Unification*, New York. University Press.
128. Wheare, K. (1953). *Federal Government*. Oxford, University Press.
129. Yesufu, M. L. (2016). The impact of Religion on Secular State: The Nigerian Experience. *Studia Historiae Ecclesasticae*, 43(1): 36-46.